1 but for reference it is at tab 29. 2 (10.30 am) 2 In summary, the public and media who are forms this hearing remotely will do so by means of the THE CHAIR: Good morning. Thank you all for coming, those 5 who are here in person and those who I dare say are out 5 participants and legal representatives who are forms.	ollowing
3 Preliminary Hearing 3 this hearing remotely will do so by means of th 4 THE CHAIR: Good morning. Thank you all for coming, those 5 who are here in person and those who I dare say are out 5 participants and legal representatives who are formula in the same of the same	ollowing
4 THE CHAIR: Good morning. Thank you all for coming, those 5 who are here in person and those who I dare say are out 5 participants and legal representatives who are for	C
5 who are here in person and those who I dare say are out 5 participants and legal representatives who are fi	e delayed
	Collowing
6 there in the ether somewhere. This is, I think, the 6 remotely have the other link, the live link.	
7 sixth preliminary hearing in relation to this inquiry. 7 During the hearing members of the public an	nd media
8 This, like others, is dedicated to the essential, vital 8 who are present in the hearing room may not co	ommunicate
9 but largely unglamorous topic of case management. 9 with anyone outside the hearing room by phone	e, email,
10 Yes, Ms Whitelaw. 10 instant messaging or other electronic means. T	hat is
11 MS WHITELAW: Sir, as you know, I appear this morning with 11 the effect of the protocol you have issued, sir.	
12 my learned friend Ms Pottle as counsel to the inquiry. 12 THE CHAIR: Yes.	
13 Mr Mansfield King's Counsel and Mr Nicholls are here 13 MS WHITELAW: The purpose of it, briefly, is to	o accommodate
14 representing the family of Dawn Sturgess and also 14 a situation where something is said which shou	ldn't have
15 Charlie Rowley. Mr Watson King's Counsel and 15 been said and we hope that doesn't happen; if it	t does
16 Mr Henderson appear on behalf of the Home Secretary and 16 we, will take the appropriate steps.	
17 also a number of other government departments and 17 THE CHAIR: It is designed for the protection of	everybody,
18 agencies. Operation Verbasco, the joint police 18 yes.	
19 operation for this inquiry, made up of two police 19 MS WHITELAW: Indeed. And third, you have a	a bundle for this
20 forces, the Metropolitan Police and the Thames Valley 20 hearing. The central documents are our submis	ssions and
Police, who through SO15 and CTP South East are together 21 those of core participants, which are in section	B, tabs
22 responsible for Operation Verbasco, they are represented 22 4 to 11. Our submissions consist of our submis	ssions
this morning by my learned friend Ms Giovannetti King's 23 dated 8 January 2024 at tab 4 and also a further	note
24 Counsel and Mr Moss. 24 dated 29 January 2024 at tab 5, which we subm	nitted
25 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 25 having received submissions from core particip	oants. The
Page 1 Page 3	
1 MS WHITELAW: Mr Berry is here for the chief constable of 1 intention of the note was to provide useful focu	is for
2 Wiltshire Police. We have also received short 2 the oral hearing today, which we hope it has do	one.
3 submissions on behalf of the South West Ambulance 3 As with previous hearings, we do propose to	publish
4 Service NHS Foundation Trust, who have asked to be 4 the submissions on the inquiry website at the en	nd of the
5 excused from this hearing, and also on behalf of the 5 hearing. If there is any objection to that taken l	by any
6 media. 6 core participant, then I invite them to raise that	with
7 Sir, if I could begin with some housekeeping. First 7 you in the course of the hearing when they com	ne to make
8 of all, as you have indicated, sir, this is a further 8 their oral submissions.	
9 open directions hearing in the inquiry. It is indeed 9 THE CHAIR: Yes.	
10 the sixth directions hearing and the previous hearing 10 MS WHITELAW: For completeness, sir, you als	o have available
took place on 6 September last year. Secondly, this is 11 to you in the bundle a range of submissions from	m
12 a hybrid hearing, so the advocates I have introduced are 12 previous hearings should they become relevant.	, as well
here in court but there are also some observers, 13 as your previous rulings and directions.	
including family members, who are on the remote link. 14 Fourthly, as we set out at paragraph 6 of our	
There are in fact two links, as with previous 15 submissions at tab 4, we did make arrangement	ts to
16 preliminary hearings, one live link and one link that 16 conduct a further closed hearing following this	hearing.
17 has a delay in it. 17 However, we are pleased to say due both to the	very
18 If anyone who is on the link has any difficulties 18 detailed consideration given to the first restricti	-
19 with following the proceedings, then please could they 19 order applications and the continued cooperation	on and
20 contact the inquiry team in the first instance, emailing 20 hard work of core participants, the restriction o	rder
21 Mr Smith, solicitor to the inquiry. 21 process is progressing smoothly such that a close	sed
Returning to the two different links, you, sir, have 22 hearing is not presently necessary.	
23 adopted a protocol on security measures for preliminary 23 Finally, in terms of the housekeeping issues	and the
hearings. It has been published on the inquiry website 24 order of oral submissions this morning, I propo	
25 and it is in the bundle. I don't ask you to turn it up 25 address you briefly, first of all, in accordance w	
Page 2 Page 4	

1	the agenda item 1 the agenda is to be found at tab 1	1	to consider those applications and, of course, rule on
2	of the bundle I will deal with the ongoing	2	them.
3	restriction order exercise, providing an update since	3	Lead or sample applications were made in advance of
4	the last hearing in September and looking towards	4	the September hearing, at which there was open debate
5	completion of the exercise, with the key juncture being	5	regarding the principles to be applied to the
6	the stage 2 disclosure in April.	6	restriction order applications, with submissions from
7	This is necessarily likely to touch upon agenda	7	core participants and from the media. The open hearing
8	items 2 and 3, responses to previous directions and	8	was followed by a series of closed hearings at which
10	disclosure. I would then propose to invite submissions	9	sample sets of documents were considered on
11	from core participants on those key issues before going	10	a line-by-line, word-by-word basis, so that was it was not just the specific sensitivity being considered but
12	on to address the other procedural matters, items 4 and	12	
13	6, so that is the anonymity, special measures applications, broadcasting and live links of substantive	13	its precise application on each occasion. The outcome of that process was both open and closed determinations
14	hearings and next hearings.	14	
15	THE CHAIR: 4, 5 and 6 is that?	15	from you, the open rulings to be found at tab 20 and on the inquiry website. The closed ruling, which, sir,
16	MS WHITELAW: Yes.	16	records your determinations in closed schedules,
17	THE CHAIR: That seems sensible to me. Does anybody	17	self-evidently remains closed.
18	disagree?	18	As we stated in our submissions, and as you, sir,
19	MR MANSFIELD: No.	19	As we stated in our submissions, and as you, sir, set out in the ruling, where restriction orders are
20	THE CHAIR: No.	20	approved, the relevant material will remain closed but
20	Submissions by MS WHITELAW	20 21	you will be able to consider it and take account of it
22	MS WHITELAW: If I may start then with an update in terms of	22	when reaching your conclusion on the terms of reference.
23	the restriction order exercise and related procedural	23	THE CHAIR: That was the purpose, wasn't it, of converting
24	matters. At the previous open hearing on 6 September	24	the inquest into an inquiry, because had it remained
25	last year Mr O'Connor King's Counsel informed you that	25	an inquest, the coroner wouldn't have been able to
25	last year wir o connor king's counser informed you that	23	an inquest, the coroner wouldn't have been able to
	Page 5		Page 7
1	stage 1 of the disclosure exercise was essentially	1	consider anything that was covered by public interest
1 2	stage 1 of the disclosure exercise was essentially complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's	1 2	consider anything that was covered by public interest immunity at all.
2	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's	2	immunity at all.
2 3	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others	2 3	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded.
2 3 4	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police,	2 3 4	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can
2 3 4 5	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service,	2 3 4 5	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it.
2 3 4 5 6	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially	2 3 4 5 6	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order
2 3 4 5 6 7	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team	2 3 4 5 6 7	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at the September hearing. The restriction order process is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more further restriction order application hearings may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at the September hearing. The restriction order process is a very considerable task, there are many thousands of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more further restriction order application hearings may be necessary and that continues to be the case. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at the September hearing. The restriction order process is a very considerable task, there are many thousands of documents in play, and given the acute sensitivities of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more further restriction order application hearings may be necessary and that continues to be the case. The rolling restriction order process is now well underway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at the September hearing. The restriction order process is a very considerable task, there are many thousands of documents in play, and given the acute sensitivities of this case, all need to be considered by a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more further restriction order application hearings may be necessary and that continues to be the case. The rolling restriction order process is now well underway with His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at the September hearing. The restriction order process is a very considerable task, there are many thousands of documents in play, and given the acute sensitivities of this case, all need to be considered by a number of different government and counter-terrorism police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more further restriction order application hearings may be necessary and that continues to be the case. The rolling restriction order process is now well underway with His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco each providing tranches of material for restriction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at the September hearing. The restriction order process is a very considerable task, there are many thousands of documents in play, and given the acute sensitivities of this case, all need to be considered by a number of different government and counter-terrorism police departments and agencies so that the applications for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more further restriction order application hearings may be necessary and that continues to be the case. The rolling restriction order process is now well underway with His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco each providing tranches of material for restriction order ruling. Operation Verbasco has provided two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at the September hearing. The restriction order process is a very considerable task, there are many thousands of documents in play, and given the acute sensitivities of this case, all need to be considered by a number of different government and counter-terrorism police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more further restriction order application hearings may be necessary and that continues to be the case. The rolling restriction order process is now well underway with His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco each providing tranches of material for restriction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	complete. Stage 1 is the process of His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco, together with others who hold fewer documents, including Wiltshire Police, Wiltshire Council and the South West Ambulance Service, providing to us, the inquiry legal team, potentially relevant documents for review and then our team indicating whether the documents are relevant or not relevant. In order to facilitate stage 2 of the disclosure process, that is the disclosure of documents to core participants, in particular the family, the relevant material has to be considered for sensitivities and restriction order applications made over identified sensitivities. You, sir, made directions for those applications in April 2023 and just for reference those directions are at tab 21 of the bundle. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: The process commenced in earnest at the September hearing. The restriction order process is a very considerable task, there are many thousands of documents in play, and given the acute sensitivities of this case, all need to be considered by a number of different government and counter-terrorism police departments and agencies so that the applications for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	immunity at all. MS WHITELAW: No, it is excluded. THE CHAIR: Although it is half a loaf, at least I can consider it. MS WHITELAW: Indeed. Where, sir, restriction order applications are not approved, the material will be disclosed in due course into open. THE CHAIR: Yes. MS WHITELAW: So moving to progress since the ruling, as you know, sir, a very great deal of work has been undertaken by all involved since the last hearing. As we explained in our submissions at paragraph 14 at tab 4, we always envisage that the ruling on the first round of restriction order applications would subsequently assist in an efficient and streamlined process for preparing documents for future restriction order applications in the inquiry, albeit it is likely that one or more further restriction order application hearings may be necessary and that continues to be the case. The rolling restriction order process is now well underway with His Majesty's Government and Operation Verbasco each providing tranches of material for restriction order ruling. Operation Verbasco has provided two

1 1 THE CHAIR: March and April. Yes, okay. been considered by you and preliminary decisions have 2 2 been promulgated. His Majesty's Government have MS WHITELAW: The police report has been disclosed on the 3 3 basis that a version footnoting underlying documents provided applications for over half a dozen government 4 4 will be provided in due course as the restriction order agencies and departments. Preliminary decisions have 5 been promulgated for four, with the remaining two to 5 process progresses and security considerations allow and 6 come in the next week. 6 a short supplementary report will be required to address 7 THE CHAIR: It is worth just making sure that I am in the 7 any updating matters, but it was of course important to 8 same place as everybody else about this. The rolling 8 ensure that we could disclose as quickly as possible 9 restriction order procedure is absolutely crucial to 9 a version of it. 10 10 THE CHAIR: Yes, absolutely. getting the disclosure done according to the timetable 11 which was set in April of last year and those who cannot 11 MS WHITELAW: We have already identified and are in the 12 be part of it need to know that it has been happening. 12 process of seeking stage 2 disclosure of other core 13 What has been happening, as you have said, is that 13 material which we consider will be useful to core 14 14 tranches of documents have been submitted to the participants. 15 15 inquiry's legal team with suggested redactions where The second issue that I wanted to address was the 16 16 names ruling. As we explain in paragraph 11 of our appropriate and, as far as I can see at least, real 17 effort has been made to use the sample rulings which 17 submissions at tab 4 of the bundle, the first tranche of 18 I made in September of last year, or after September of 18 material for restriction order application that was 19 last year, as a guide and what is then happening is that 19 received from His Majesty's Government on 20 I am spending a day from time to time -- well, whenever 20 24 November 2023, it quickly became apparent from that 21 21 that in order to rule on that first tranche, you, sir, it is necessary, going through those suggestions again, 22 not just document by document but line by line. That is 22 would need to make a final ruling on the issue of names, 23 working. What I don't know, and what I am going to need 23 which you had addressed in principle in your ruling of 24 help with, not necessarily from you but from others 24 19 August. 25 25 THE CHAIR: That is 22, isn't it? today, is how much more there is still to come. Page 9 Page 11 1 MS WHITELAW: Yes. So, well, I am going to come back to the 1 MS WHITELAW: Yes. 2 restriction orders in a moment and their very important 2 THE CHAIR: Yes. 3 3 MS WHITELAW: Since our submissions and as indicated in our impact on the timetable, but if I could just deal with 4 three related matters relevant to items 2 and 3 of the 4 note, tab 5, paragraph 8, you made a provisional "minded 5 agenda, which can conveniently be addressed now. 5 to" ruling dated 9 January 2024, inviting objections 6 THE CHAIR: Yes. within 14 days. Though we note the position of the 7 MS WHITELAW: First of all, stage 2 disclosure. Sir, you 7 media organisations in their submissions that they are 8 have previously indicated that not all disclosure should concerned that the redaction of names may make documents await the end of the restriction order process and that 9 9 more difficult to understand, no objections have been 10 there would be some documents that could be disclosed 10 received for the ruling and we therefore invite you to 11 earlier. 11 finalise and confirm that ruling today. 12 THE CHAIR: Absolutely. 12 THE CHAIR: Yes, well, I shall ask each party present to 13 MS WHITELAW: We have kept this constantly in mind and are 13 deal with that separately. 14 14 MS WHITELAW: Thank you. pleased to say we have been able to disclose to core 15 participants since the last hearing items which start to 15 The third issue was with regard to witnesses and 16 16 explain the detailed narrative in this case. That is cipher list. The related tasks of preparing a highly 17 a compilation of CCTV with a duration of just over eight 17 provisional list of possible witnesses and the cipher 18 hours which was disclosed on 21 December 2023. 18 list, the latter designed precisely to assist 19 THE CHAIR: Yes. 19 comprehension of the documents, has been ongoing for 20 MS WHITELAW: And a first iteration of the police report 20 some time now. The cipher list extends considerably 21 disclosed on 19 January 24. 21 further than a provisional witness list and we confirm 22 THE CHAIR: That is CCTV from both incidents, is it? 22 this because we know it has been a matter of concern for 23 MS WHITELAW: Yes. 23 the family. 24 THE CHAIR: March and May? 24 THE CHAIR: They are two quite separate questions, surely, 25 MS WHITELAW: Yes, that is a compilation. 25 Ms Whitelaw. Who might be a witness is one thing; Page 10 Page 12

1	understanding the documents by means of or at least	1	listening understands the difference between the topic
2	improving the understanding of documents by means of	2	of redaction of names and restriction orders in relation
3	ciphering is entirely separate.	3	to names on documents, which you have mentioned, on the
4	MS WHITELAW: Indeed.	4	one hand, and the anonymity of witnesses on the other.
5	THE CHAIR: And the second is by no means restricted to the	5	They are entirely separate.
6	first. It must not be.	6	MS WHITELAW: Yes.
7	MS WHITELAW: No, sir, and we confirm that. We have liaised	7	THE CHAIR: And quite different considerations apply to
8	with both His Majesty's Government and Operation	8	each. Once, between you, you have worked out who you
9	Verbasco with regard to names for ciphering but a final	9	would like to call as witnesses, if there is
10	unified cipher list is close to completion and this will	10	a suggestion that they would be at risk or that national
11	then be available to all involved in the process of	11	security would be at risk if they were identified in the
12	preparing the documents for stage 2 disclosure.	12	course of giving their evidence, then I will consider
13	THE CHAIR: You say close to completion, which is	13	that. That is entirely separate and a much stiffer test
14	encouraging but a little unspecific. When is it going	14	than is applied when one is simply looking at documents
15	to be done?	15	and deciding whether everybody who, for example,
16	MS WHITELAW: I think an estimate will be in the next week	16	everybody who has been copied into an email, needs to be
17	or two.	17	identified.
18	THE CHAIR: As quickly as that, right. Thank you.	18	All right, thank you.
19	MS WHITELAW: We have been preparing a list of possible	19	MS WHITELAW: Thank you. We do remain in a position to
20	witnesses in parallel for efficiency as we review	20	indicate to core participants potential witnesses and we
21	material. However, as I say, any such list must be	21	have had preliminary discussions with Wiltshire Police
22	highly provisional until stage 2 disclosure is made and	22	in particular about this. We intend to continue those
23	core participants have a fully informed opportunity to	23	discussions in our regular liaison with core
24	make representations.	24	participants but the cipher list remains a priority in
25	THE CHAIR: It is not just your list, is it, it is theirs as	25	order to facilitate restriction orders and stage 2
	Page 13		Page 15
	1 age 13		1 agc 13
1	well, or might be.	1	disclosure.
	, 8	1 1	disclosure.
2	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated,	2	THE CHAIR: Right.
2 3			
	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated,	2	THE CHAIR: Right.
3	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We	2 3	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction
3 4	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we	2 3 4	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all
3 4 5	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government,	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by
3 4 5 6	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal
3 4 5 6 7	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need to be in their redacted form provided to the inquiry	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody here how it is to be achieved, because the direction
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need to be in their redacted form provided to the inquiry legal team.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody here how it is to be achieved, because the direction which was given nearly a year ago now was that the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need to be in their redacted form provided to the inquiry legal team. THE CHAIR: I have seen that and if I may say so it is clear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody here how it is to be achieved, because the direction which was given nearly a year ago now was that the material must be by 19 April in a form, duly redacted
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need to be in their redacted form provided to the inquiry legal team. THE CHAIR: I have seen that and if I may say so it is clear that that is the consensus, and indeed there is no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody here how it is to be achieved, because the direction which was given nearly a year ago now was that the material must be by 19 April in a form, duly redacted where necessary, in which it can be sent on to the core
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need to be in their redacted form provided to the inquiry legal team. THE CHAIR: I have seen that and if I may say so it is clear that that is the consensus, and indeed there is no significant there is no voice at all raised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody here how it is to be achieved, because the direction which was given nearly a year ago now was that the material must be by 19 April in a form, duly redacted where necessary, in which it can be sent on to the core participants. Before that can be done, you and I have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need to be in their redacted form provided to the inquiry legal team. THE CHAIR: I have seen that and if I may say so it is clear that that is the consensus, and indeed there is no significant — there is no voice at all raised otherwise, so I am happy to adopt that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody here how it is to be achieved, because the direction which was given nearly a year ago now was that the material must be by 19 April in a form, duly redacted where necessary, in which it can be sent on to the core participants. Before that can be done, you and I have got to deal with any further redaction applications and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need to be in their redacted form provided to the inquiry legal team. THE CHAIR: I have seen that and if I may say so it is clear that that is the consensus, and indeed there is no significant there is no voice at all raised otherwise, so I am happy to adopt that. I hope everybody who is listening, everybody in court will certainly know, but I hope everybody who is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody here how it is to be achieved, because the direction which was given nearly a year ago now was that the material must be by 19 April in a form, duly redacted where necessary, in which it can be sent on to the core participants. Before that can be done, you and I have got to deal with any further redaction applications and it is the timetable between now and then which will dictate whether 19 April can be held or not and, as far
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS WHITELAW: That said we must, as I have also indicated, make efficient progress towards the hearings. We indicated in our original written submissions that we were proposing to indicate to His Majesty's Government, Operation Verbasco and Wiltshire Police witnesses we've identified whose evidence may be called or read in advance of this hearing in order to assist with the filing of lead first or sample applications for anonymity or special measures at the next hearing. The position moved on from this suggestion, as recorded in our note, which is at tab 5 and paragraph 11, since we understand that core participants are generally agreed it is better to put off the applications for anonymity and special measures in order to concentrate focus on the 19 April 2024 disclosure deadline, the date at which, sir, you had made a direction that documents need to be in their redacted form provided to the inquiry legal team. THE CHAIR: I have seen that and if I may say so it is clear that that is the consensus, and indeed there is no significant there is no voice at all raised otherwise, so I am happy to adopt that. I hope everybody who is listening, everybody in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: Returning then to the subject of restriction orders and disclosure, it is very encouraging that all involved consider that the date of 19 April 2024 set by you last year for the provision to the inquiry legal team of final redacted documents for onwards disclosure to core participants remains broadly achievable. It is imperative that this is the case to safeguard the October commencement and we invite you to direct that all involved must take practical steps to ensure that this is achieved. Your recent ruling on names is an example of this pragmatic and necessary approach. THE CHAIR: Yes, well, we will see what people say but as far as I am concerned, Ms Whitelaw, subject to persuasive argument to the contrary, that is an absolute priority. And I shall want to explore with everybody here how it is to be achieved, because the direction which was given nearly a year ago now was that the material must be by 19 April in a form, duly redacted where necessary, in which it can be sent on to the core participants. Before that can be done, you and I have got to deal with any further redaction applications and it is the timetable between now and then which will

1	as I am concerned at least, it is going to be held.	1	shortly after 19 April.
2	MS WHITELAW: You are one step ahead of me and I am just	2	THE CHAIR: Yes, now the police report, which I have only
3	coming now to that issue of the timetable.	3	seen this morning and have glanced at, will undoubtedly,
4	In our note, we referred to caveats that have been	4	one would have thought, provide a really instructive
5	raised at paragraphs 2 and 3 of His Majesty's	5	introduction not introduction, a really instructive
6	Government's written submissions.	6	excursus into events. It shows redactions which have
7	THE CHAIR: Let me turn that up.	7	been made, doesn't it?
8	MS WHITELAW: That is at tab 7 of the bundle.	8	Does the addition of the footnotes in the supporting
9	THE CHAIR: Yes.	9	documents which you have just mentioned is still to
10	MS WHITELAW: As I say, it was paragraphs 2 and 3. We	10	come, will that affect the redactions which are shown or
11	invite His Majesty's Government to address firstly the	11	not?
12	nature and quantity of the outstanding material that	12	MS WHITELAW: I would invite Verbasco and His Majesty's
13	His Majesty's Government considers will not be available	13	Government to address that point.
14	for stage 2 disclosure by 19 April, and that is	14	THE CHAIR: Yes. I think everybody needs to know that.
15	referenced halfway down paragraph 2 of those	15	I can understand that there may well have to be some
16	submissions.	16	redactions to the police report but I need to know
17	THE CHAIR: This is material which they say that it is of	17	whether it will be affected by the addition to it of the
18	a kind which, at least in the view of the author of the	18	supporting documents and the footnotes to which you have
19	document, will not have any material impact on any core	19	just referred.
20	participant's preparation for the hearing and will not	20	Thank you.
21	put the hearing date at risk.	21	MS WHITELAW: Thank you. A fourth point, which is in
22	So that is what we are talking about.	22	addition to our note, is we also invite His Majesty's
23	Do we know what it is?	23	Government to make clear any actions which they expect
24	MS WHITELAW: I would like to invite Mr Watson King's	24	to be outstanding at the 19 April 2024 juncture.
25	Counsel in due course to address precisely what that	25	THE CHAIR: Yes.
	Dage 17		Dage 10
	Page 17		Page 19
1	outstanding material refers to, so there can be no	1	MS WHITELAW: In addition to addressing those matters which
2	doubt.	2	I have just explained, sir, we indicated in our note
3	THE CHAIR: Understood.	3	that we will, as part of regular liaison with the team
4	MS WHITELAW: That is the first point. The second point is	4	for His Majesty's Government, to ensure the efficient
5	we invite confirmation that its restriction order	5	progress of the inquiry seek further detail as to the
6	applications as part of the current process will address	6	proposed timing and content of the remaining restriction
7	not only the sensitive content of His Majesty's	7	order applications, with the intention of ensuring that
8	Government's documents that have been disclosed and	8	the 19 April 2024 deadline is met. You, sir, may wish
9	deemed relevant, but also the content of similar	9	to consider setting a timetable with regard to that
10	Operation Verbasco documents.	10	point.
11	We understood this to be the effect of footnote 1 to	11	THE CHAIR: That is the point I made just a few moments ago,
12	the submissions but ask for confirmation. To explain	12	before you dealt with the police report, and it is
13	that briefly, His Majesty's Government and Operation	13	critical to holding the 19 April, but I am sure
14	Verbasco made separate lead restriction order	14	everybody has considered it and I will hear what people
15	applications addressing their own sensitivities. There	15	say.
16	was a small amount of overlap, but each needs to	16	MS WHITELAW: I should say that Operation Verbasco has
17	consider the others' material and whether they need to	17	provided us with indications of a route map of this sort
18	make their own restriction order application over the	18	for their material and we will also be liaising with
19	other core participants' material.	19	Operation Verbasco in parallel with His Majesty's
20	That is the second point we asked to be addressed.	20	Government to ensure their planning incorporates
21	The third is we have also asked for confirmation of	21	His Majesty's Government's review of their material and
22	His Majesty's Government's position regarding the timing	22	theirs of His Majesty's Government's.
23	of disclosure of the full version of the police report,	23	Sir, we don't invite this level of detail now
24	that is with the footnotes and underlying documents, and	24	because it is likely to involve sensitivities and the
25	in particular, any reason why that may not be possible	25	liaison between a number of departments, but you may
			D 06
	Page 18		Page 20

		1	find a said of
1	wish to make a direction to ensure such detail is	1	further on that.
2	provided.	2	The second and final matter I wish to address was
3	THE CHAIR: Yes, I see.	3	the March hearing date. As specified in your directions
4	MS WHITELAW: If I could just briefly address two further	4	of 3 April last year, the core purpose of the hearing on
5	matters relating to the restriction order process and	5	15 March 2024 would be to determine outstanding issues
6	disclosure before inviting core participants to respond.	6	arising from the rolling restriction order process now
7	The first is international material. To explain the	7	underway. That remains the intended purpose of the
8	background here, Operation Verbasco possess a quantity	8	hearing. We will keep under review the need for an open
9	of material which includes information derived from	9	hearing and a closed hearing. It may be that only one
10	international partners. According to the terms under	10	or the other is required.
11	which such information is shared, Operation Verbasco	11	To address a concern raised at paragraph 6(a) of
12	must obtain permission from their international partners	12	His Majesty's Government's submissions, which are at
13	before such material is disclosed and permission remains	13	tab 7, it is intended that the majority of such issues
14	outstanding in respect of some of the material. In our	14	will be resolve in the course of the current paper
15	submissions dated 4 August 2023, which is at tab 12, we	15	exercise. We anticipate there will only be a limited
16	explained that the relevance review of international	16	number of novel or difficult issues that will need to be
17	material was being addressed separately from the bulk of	17	dealt with by way of oral submissions at the March
18	Operation Verbasco material, which had been reviewed by	18	hearing or hearings.
19	20 June 23. Due to the need to conduct a review of the	19	THE CHAIR: If there are any, that is when they will be
20	international material as efficiently as possible rather	20	dealt with, is it? Right.
21	than in a piecemeal way, the inquiry legal team asked	21	MS WHITELAW: Those are our submissions on the substantial
22	Operation Verbasco to provide all international material	22	agenda items and I propose to come back to the remaining
23	in one tranche. By way of update, on 14 September 2023,	23	items after you have heard from core participants on the
24	Operation Verbasco provided us with a closed written	24	matters I have addressed so far.
25	update regarding that material. In their submissions	25	THE CHAIR: That is sensible, Ms Whitelaw. Thank you very
	Page 21		Page 23
		1	
1	for this hearing at tab 6, the family expressed concern	1	much indeed.
1 2	for this hearing at tab 6, the family expressed concern that the delayed disclosure of the international	1 2	much indeed. Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you
2	that the delayed disclosure of the international	2	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you
2 3	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to	2 3	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to
2 3 4	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family	2 3 4	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first?
2 3 4 5	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence	2 3 4 5	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please.
2 3 4 5 6	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received	2 3 4 5 6	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so it will be but in a secure location	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion. Applications have been made and are still being made on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so it will be but in a secure location	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion. Applications have been made and are still being made on a rolling basis. You and your team know exactly what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so it will be but in a secure location THE CHAIR: I understand that it may have to be handled in a particular way but is it on its way?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion. Applications have been made and are still being made on a rolling basis. You and your team know exactly what those applications require of HMG, sir, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so it will be but in a secure location THE CHAIR: I understand that it may have to be handled in a particular way but is it on its way? MS WHITELAW: Yes, absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion. Applications have been made and are still being made on a rolling basis. You and your team know exactly what those applications require of HMG, sir, and that an enormous amount of painstaking work has to go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so it will be but in a secure location THE CHAIR: I understand that it may have to be handled in a particular way but is it on its way? MS WHITELAW: Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIR: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion. Applications have been made and are still being made on a rolling basis. You and your team know exactly what those applications require of HMG, sir, and that an enormous amount of painstaking work has to go into each one before they are put before you. As indeed your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so it will be but in a secure location THE CHAIR: I understand that it may have to be handled in a particular way but is it on its way? MS WHITELAW: Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: And Operation Verbasco has also made ready	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion. Applications have been made and are still being made on a rolling basis. You and your team know exactly what those applications require of HMG, sir, and that an enormous amount of painstaking work has to go into each one before they are put before you. As indeed your own team's submissions recognise, HMG is working hard at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so it will be but in a secure location THE CHAIR: I understand that it may have to be handled in a particular way but is it on its way? MS WHITELAW: Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: And Operation Verbasco has also made ready a bundle of the international material which has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion. Applications have been made and are still being made on a rolling basis. You and your team know exactly what those applications require of HMG, sir, and that an enormous amount of painstaking work has to go into each one before they are put before you. As indeed your own team's submissions recognise, HMG is working hard at what is a time-consuming and detailed process and, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that the delayed disclosure of the international material would not provide them with sufficient time to properly prepare for the evidence hearings. The family therefore asked for a gist of the written correspondence referred to above, and I can confirm that we received a gist from Operation Verbasco on 31 January, just a couple of days ago, in response to the request from the family and we will be providing this shortly to core participants shortly after the hearing. THE CHAIR: Have others here seen that yet? MS WHITELAW: I don't believe so, and I believe at the moment it is securely held, so that is why we need to THE CHAIR: All right, but the gist for which Mr Mansfield has asked is on its way? MS WHITELAW: It is held by the inquiry legal team now, so it will be but in a secure location THE CHAIR: I understand that it may have to be handled in a particular way but is it on its way? MS WHITELAW: Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIR: Right. MS WHITELAW: And Operation Verbasco has also made ready a bundle of the international material which has been gathered for the inquiry legal team's review and I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Mansfield, I will give you the option. Do you want to hear from the government first or do you want to go first? MR MANSFIELD: If I may, yes, please. THE CHAIR: Mr Watson. Submissions by MR WATSON MR WATSON: Sir, on behalf of His Majesty Government, addressing of course first the restriction order applications, could I begin by emphasising that all government departments and agencies recognise the importance of completing the exercise which underpins stage 2 disclosure and as expeditiously as possible. As you are well aware and your team is well aware, the restriction order application process is well underway and it is fair to say that it is nearing completion. Applications have been made and are still being made on a rolling basis. You and your team know exactly what those applications require of HMG, sir, and that an enormous amount of painstaking work has to go into each one before they are put before you. As indeed your own team's submissions recognise, HMG is working hard at what is a time-consuming and detailed process and, of course, the government recognises that any ruling by

1 1 intensive exercise. We have though, of course, the ourselves on 19 April. We could, of course, have simply 2 2 benefit now of your ruling of 3 November on the sample erred on the side of optimism and said all would be well 3 3 and hope that it would be, but that wouldn't have been documents and therefore a clearer sense of where the 4 open and closed divide lies. 4 doing any service to you, sir, and we know that you 5 That has permitted of course a certain narrowing of 5 expect from us to tell you exactly where we are and if 6 the issues, although it is inevitable that each document 6 there is going to be an issue, what it is going to be. 7 still requires line-by-line review and, of course, it is 7 But I do hope that I can explain also something of the 8 8 caveats and these explain why we present this on the inevitable that there remains the possibility of new 9 9 basis that, at a minimum, the vast majority will be issues, or variations of existing issues arising on any 10 document that has not yet been examined. 10 ready. The caveats. 11 11 On any view, sir, it is an exercise for which there Firstly, and of course it is obvious, we can only 12 12 speak to the documents that we already know about. We is no shortcut and it is an exercise which ultimately 13 seeks to protect the interests, of course, of national 13 are referring to the known universe of documents, if you 14 14 like, that we have in our sights that require review. security and the public interest generally. 15 15 I hope that none of those preliminary observations, That is not an idle observation and without intending to 16 16 sir, are controversial, but with them in mind, could I put anyone on the spot, this week Wiltshire Police 17 just turn then, please, to the counsel to the inquiry's 17 provided us with their material that is to be reviewed. 18 further note and, in particular, the questions, 18 No criticism of them for that. That is now in the 19 paragraph 6(1), (2) and (3). 19 pipeline. We don't anticipate on a quick review of that 20 6(1), and obviously canvassed again this morning by 20 material that is going to interrupt the deadline or 21 21 affect it, but if there is other material that we don't Ms Whitelaw: 22 "Further detail as to the nature and quantity of the 22 yet know about which is brought to our attention, then 23 outstanding material that HMG considers will not be 23 of course we are going to have to deal with it --24 available for stage 2." 24 THE CHAIR: You must know, Mr Watson, surely, or at least 25 25 Can I address that head on, sir. you are likely to know, surely, what the possibilities Page 25 Page 27 1 1 The reference in our written submissions to "the are. You cannot, of course, rule out somebody who 2 2 vast majority of documents being available" reflected nobody has thought of before suddenly arriving with 3 3 our best assessment, candidly given, as to what would a briefcase with documents in it, but that aside you 4 have been reached by 19 April, which at the time of 4 must know --5 5 drafting was of course 13 weeks away, but I emphasise, MR WATSON: I think I put it more firmly than that, sir. We 6 and I don't think I could emphasise this enough, it is 6 are relying on an assumption that we know the universe 7 7 not that HMG knew then or knows now that there is of documents that we are dealing with. Even within a specific category of material that is simply not going 8 8 that, I should add, there are aspects of what will be 9 to be ready. It is not that, sir. It is rather that Q required of us that are not known because even if you 10 10 know the number of documents you are dealing with, the experience has shown that even with appropriate 11 resourcing properly deployed and all our team working 11 extent to which those documents are going to raise 12 efficiently and diligently, there is the risk of some 12 issues that you have to address in a restriction order 13 slippage and in part that is due to matters that may be 13 application is only known when you actually start to go 14 14 outside of our control. through, as you very well know, sir, line by line the 15 15 documents. So even if you are presented with a schedule THE CHAIR: Unforeseen slippage, is it, Mr Watson? It is 16 that says there are only 100 documents, one of those 16 not meant to be derogatory that, such things do happen. 17 17 documents could be incredibly problematic and cause a MR WATSON: I am going to come to explain I hope more 18 18 series of issues. 99 of them might be fine; equally, precisely what those potential issues are. 19 19 THE CHAIR: Please. the balance could be the other way. 20 20 MR WATSON: Slippage though, I should emphasise, is not There are unknowns --2.1 inevitable and of course since our submissions were 21 THE CHAIR: It is new sources and unexpected novel material, 22 drafted, we have had CTI's further note and that has 22 23 given comfort, I should add, in a couple of respects 23 MR WATSON: That is right. It is the Rumsfeld known

that I am going to come back to, but the vast majority

was, as I say, a candid assessment of where we saw

Page 26

24

25

24

25

unknowns and then the unknown unknowns

That is the first. The second and third caveats are

Page 28

these, and we have had some construct miss, I aloustal emphasies, is the second exacts, the extent of the materials to be considered at the hearing in March. We recognize and greatly appreciate that in fact the system and the papers, and we of course have an opportunity then to the papers, and we of course have an opportunity then to review those and to determine whether there are any aspects that we think properly we should be bringing to your attention by way of oral submissions. But of course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of March, the less that we have at that kind of course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of March, the less that we have at that kind of the course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of March, the less that we have at that kind of the papers, and we of the process. So we lave taken some contungement from that indication, which is externed lephoff if I rany say as, is. The third coveat in our submissions was of course the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the meantine, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, the beaute, as you know, sit, there are various pieces of work being done on the nide 3 processes an well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the priority. Page 29 I disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. Page 29 I disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. Page 29 I disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. Page 29 I disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the an outsider's point on the process of the proces				
materials to be considered at the hoating in March. We recognise and greatly appreciate that in fact the two follows are applications are being resolved on the papers, and we of course have an opportunity then to review to the and to determine whether there are any supers and we of course have an opportunity then to review to those and to determine whether there are any supers and we of course have an opportunity then to or existent to the and to determine whether there are any supers and we of course have an opportunity then to or outside the many of oral submissions. But of ocuse the mose that is dealth with on paper in advance of March, the less that we have at that kind of cliff-integer edge browath fee and of the process. So we like the extent of other demands placed on LHSG departments in the meanine, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the monymity and other papelications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quiet to say this is all we are dealing with, outside the papers and the oral of the process of the ceveral of their demands placed on LHSG departments in the extent of other demands placed on LHSG departments in the the extent of other demands placed on LHSG departments in the the papelications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quiet to say this is all we are dealing with, outside the papers and the oral of the process places of work being done on the neily eleven subject to sputh is allowed to the policy of the policy o	1	these, and we have had some comfort on this, I should	1	reason why that may not be possible shortly after
We recognise and greatly appreciate that in fact the vart majority of the applications are being resolved on the papers, and we of course have an opportunity then to review those and to determine whether there are any sapects that we think properly we should be bringing to your attention by way of oral submissions. But of of March, the less that we have at that kind of cliff-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we label the care of the frame and the course the rest of the frame placed or HMG departments in the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to moderstand that in fact the anonymy and other papelications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it so efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the counters that I have identified already, we are assuming that the final formoted vision with the order of the process. So we and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered, as and in some instances already has been considered. THE C				•
the papers, and we of course have an opportunity then to review those and to determine whether there are any a aspects that we think properly we should be bringing to your attention by way of oral submissions. But of 10 course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance 11 of March, the less that we have at that kind of 11 citif-banger edge towards the end of the process. So we have taken some encouragement from that indication, 13 which is extremely belight if I may say so, sir. 15 The third carvant in our submissions was of course 16 the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in 18 the meantine, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other 19 applications will follow the stage 2 discourse. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, 21 because, as you know, sir, there are varyous pieces of 22 work being does on the rule Pyrocesses as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the 23 are the first, lever them to the joilt fewer there are of those, the more 24 same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 25 was: Page 29 1 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the 25 priority. 1 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are 34 the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: 2 THE CHAIR: Right. 3 And the short mawer to that is yes. I am poing to 4 return to the police documents because I know there was a question nisted and I can understand from 24 terrum to the police documents because I know there was a question mised process. there is a question rised, why carn't you deal with the police documents that have are plications to the front of the peure. Could I iss explain a bit more about why in practice that is not applications are well under way and nearing completion. 2 THE CHAIR: Right. 3 My those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we a	3	_	3	Short answer again to that is no. The slightly
the papers, and we of course have an opportunity then to review those and to determine whether there are any 7 has aspects that we think properly we should be bringing to your attention by way of oral submissions. But of 9 documents, and therefore it is going to be considered, as of March, the less that we have at that kind of 12 cliff-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we 13 have taken some encouragement from that indication, which is certrenely helpful if I may say so, sir. The third caveat in our submissions was of course 16 the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other 19 applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it 19 applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it 20 because, as you know, in; there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there 23 are other issues being considered in parallel at the 24 same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 26 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 27 was: Page 29 1 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the 2 priority. 2 So those I hope are the first, second and third 24 caveast that I flaink properly, sir, must inform any 2 assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. 2 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are 3 the further LT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: 3 Ro those I hope are the first, second and third 24 caveast that I flaink properly, sir, must inform any 3 assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing 3 out. 4 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are 4 the further LT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: 5 a provided in the process, there is a question raised, why a remarked way and nearing completion. The residual police documents that have a question raised, why a re	4		4	
review those and to determine whether there are any aspects that we think properly we should be bringing to your attention by way of oral submissions. But of course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of the course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of the course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of the course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of March, the less that we have at that kind of the course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of March, the less that we have at that kind of the course the course of the course	5	vast majority of the applications are being resolved on	5	that I have identified already, we are assuming that the
spects that we think properly we should be bringing to your attention by way of oral submissions. But of course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of March, the less that we have at that kind of cliff-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we liff-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we lift have taken some encouragement from that indication, which is extremely helpful if I may say so, sir. The third cavear in our submissions was of course the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of the meantime, and of the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to it meantime, and of the meantime, an	6	the papers, and we of course have an opportunity then to	6	final footnoted version will refer only to material that
your attention by way of oral submissions. But of course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of methy, the less that we have at that kind of cliff-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we have taken some encouragement from that indication, which is extremely helpful if I may say so, sir. The third caveat in our submissions was of course the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the extentine, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other applications will fallow the stage 2 disclosure. So it or is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the ettage Possesses as well, there are other issues being considered in partillel at the extent ime, but the fewer there are of those, the more efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 I disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third covers than I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Or Confirmation that HMC's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG decounted the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and to entire of the current process will address not only HMG and out the process, there is a question raised, why are carried to the front of the queue. Could I just those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could come to the front of the queue. Could I just explications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the applications or course, ship is the refr	7	review those and to determine whether there are any	7	has already been identified as relevant, ie it falls
ocuses the more that is dealt with on paper in advance of March, the less that we have at that kind of cliffichanger edge towards the end of the process. So we have taken some encouragement from that indication, which is extremely helpful if I may say as, sir. the third eavent in our submissions was of course the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to an understand that in fact the anonymity and other upplications will fellow the stage 2 disclosure. So it because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the earnet including the stage 2 of course being the gride out. Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. Good I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. (42) was: "Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. (42) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the existing process. The point may would. In the meantime, and of course the first, second and third average that think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. (42) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG an outsider's point of view, ic those not directly involved an interest in the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ic those not directly involved in the process, there is a question mised, and I and in some instances already hean subject to a sample review by you, sir. The CHAIR is that. That is that. The CHAIR is that the almost that was the process goes on, we have a grea	8	aspects that we think properly we should be bringing to	8	within, as I have described it, our known universe of
of March, the less that we have at that kind of left-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we cliff-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we which have some concouragement from that indication, which is extremely helpful if I may say so, sir. The third caveat in our submissions was of course the the cut of other demands placed on HMC departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work heing done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the same time, but the fewer there are of floses, the more efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific priority. And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to reme back to that if I may, and the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. In the meantime, and of course there is it is also helpful to understand from an outsider's point of view, is those of the police course that are are other in the first there note. (42) Was: If it is the first in fact the anonymity and other and a sequence of the first in fact the anonymity and other and a pread of the process. So in the first in fact the anonymity and other and a pread of the process of the course of the same time, but the fewer there are of floses, the more dealing with. If it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, and the short the fewer there are of floses, the more dealing to the police documents and the first in fact the anonymity and other and a submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite and the priority. If it is not quite to say that is a thore the dealline. If it is not quite to say that it is allow the first is allow the first is all well and the another than	9	your attention by way of oral submissions. But of	9	documents, and therefore it is going to be considered,
life-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we have taken some encouragement from that indication, which is extremely helpful if I may say so, sir. The third cavent in our submissions was of course the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this all we are dealing with, because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are disclosure efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the existent think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further LLT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG demands and the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to row be columnts because L know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why earl you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample toxic by you, sir. And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to move so, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why earl you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents have are dealing with. And the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that ware of each of the	10	course the more that is dealt with on paper in advance	10	and in some instances already has been considered, as
have taken some encouragement from that indication, which is extremely helpful if I may say so, sir. The third caveat in or submissions was of course the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of 22 work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the asame time, but the fewer there are of those, the more 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 26 priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the	11	of March, the less that we have at that kind of	11	part of the existing process.
a hitherio unknown source at this stage which has to go into the police report must be fairly small, mustrit it? The third eavest in our submissions was of course the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are of those, the more 23 are other issues being considered in parallel at the same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more 24 same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 25 most based out. Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the 26 priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third 4 caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any 25 assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are 27 the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: THE CHAIR: One would hope so. MR WATSON: One conditions that we are meet the deadline. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: The ocertainly would. MR WATSON: One would hope so. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: The ocertainly would. MR WATSON: One would hope so. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: The two cent meet the deadline. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: The two cent meet the deadline. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: The sit structure as greater confidence that we can meet the deadline. MR WATSON: So thousely as the process goes on, we have a greater confidence that we can meet the deadline. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: That is that. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: That is that. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: That is that. MR WATSON: One would hope so. ITHE CHAIR: Tha	12	cliff-hanger edge towards the end of the process. So we	12	THE CHAIR: Yes. I mean, although it is a theoretical
the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in the mentime, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with. 21 because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the are other issues being considered in parallel at the efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 1 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. 2 So those I hope are the first, second and third cavests that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. 2 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further LT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: 3 And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that have already been subject to part of the documents that have already been subject report, ie disclosure of the full version of the police report must be fairly small, mustuit it? 4 MR WATSON: Obevously as the process goes on, we have a agreater confidence that we can meet the deadline. 5 HE CHAIR: That is that. 5 MR WATSON: Could Jiust explain on the police documents, this is of course that we as greater confidence that we can meet the deadline. 5 HE CHAIR: That is that. 6 MR WATSON: Could Jiust captain on the police documents, this is of course flat was a greater confidence that we can meet the deadline. 7 HE CHAIR: That is that. 8 MR WATSON: Could Jiust explain on the police documents, this is of course flath the police documents, there are orthous, there are orthose, the microsity are are other insues possession. The point that you are such as a properly series of the such in this police possession. The point that you are such as a present to the series	13	have taken some encouragement from that indication,	13	possibility, the chances of something turning up from
the extent of other demands placed on IMG departments in the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other a applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of 22 work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there 23 are other issues being considered in parallel at the 24 same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific 26 priority. 1 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the 27 caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any 28 assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing 29 out. 1 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are 28 the further LT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: 10 "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part 29 of the current process will address not only HMG 21 documents deemed relevant but also the content of" 1 And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from 21 already been subject to the surface of the current process, there is a question raised, why 21 already been subject to that if I may. But the short 21 anny going to come back to that if I may. But the short 22 and a subject to a sample review by you, sir. 24 disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 25 disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 26 disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 26 footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 25 make a for question raised, why 26 disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 26 footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 27 make a full resplications to review the materials, to identify if any 27 make a full version of the police report, ie 28 footnotes underlying documents. In particular	14	which is extremely helpful if I may say so, sir.	14	a hitherto unknown source at this stage which has to go
the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to understand that in fact the anonymity and other applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, 21 because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there as ame time, but the fewer there are of those, the more efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 1 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. 2 So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. 2 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: 3 And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to was: 4 And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question mised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all writhin, as I say, the known universe of documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all writhin, as I say, the known universe of documents that have disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any	15	The third caveat in our submissions was of course	15	into the police report must be fairly small, mustn't it?
understand that in fact the anonymity and other paptications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third exveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Could then please turn to 6(2) and discourners well address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. And the police obcuments that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. And disclosure of documents that we are dealing with. MR WATSON: Obviously as the process goes on, we have a greater confidence that we can meet the deadline. THE CHAIR: That is that. MR WATSON: Could I just explain on the police documents, this is of course material that originates from IMG but was in the police's possession. The point IMG but was in the police obcuments from 1 Hoffs but was in the police ocuments from 1 Hoffs but was in the police, spossession. The point IMG but was in the police documents that have a preater confidence that we can meet the deadline. THE CHAIR: That is that. MR WATSON: Oblically as greater officence that we can meet the deadline. THE CHAIR: That is that. MR WATSON: As I have so it the focuments was in the police documents with the are documents was in the police documents with the cave of those, the meriad, or cave so that of course of the full version of	16	the extent of other demands placed on HMG departments in	16	MR WATSON: One would hope so.
applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with, because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think property, sir, must inform any sassessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Name WATSON: Could I just explain on the police documents, that has of course material that originates from fIMG but raised, or rather your team raised in their initial submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite Page 31 those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could come to the front of the queue. Could I just could come to the front of the queue. Could I just could come to the front of the queue. Could I just could come to the front of the queue. Could I just and the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) Was: THE CHAIR: Right The course provide and their initial submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could come to the front of the queue. Could I just could come to the front of the queue. Could I just could come to the front of the queue. Could I just could come to the front of the queue. Could I just could come to the front of the queue. Could I just could come to the front of the queue. Could I just and the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) May Could come	17	the meantime, and of course there it is also helpful to	17	THE CHAIR: One certainly would.
because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the are other issues being considered in parallel at the efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3) which are the further lLT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to an outsider's point of view, ic those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that have disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any	18	understand that in fact the anonymity and other	18	MR WATSON: Obviously as the process goes on, we have
because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there are other issues being considered in parallel at the same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Could I then please turn to 4 policy some sold and third of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to rimit to the police documents which had already been subject to your raised, or rather your team raised in their initial submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite Page 31 those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could come to the front of the queue. Could I just explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to give some flesh to the bones of the process that underlies these restriction order applications. THE CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 23 6(3), position regarding the timing of the disclosure of th	19	applications will follow the stage 2 disclosure. So it	19	a greater confidence that we can meet the deadline.
22 work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there 23 are other issues being considered in parallel at the 24 same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more 25 efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 26 Page 31 1 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the 27 priority. 28 So those I hope are the first, second and third 39 caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any 30 assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing 30 out. 31 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are 32 the threfur ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) 33 was: 34 Page 31 35 Page 31 36 Page 31 37 The making of the application, of course, sir, is the 38 the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) 39 was: 40 Page 31 41 those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could come to the front of the queue. Could I just explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to give some flesh to the bones of the process that underlies these restriction order applications. 48 THE CHAIR: Right. 49 Was: 40 Page 31 41 those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could come to the front of the queue. Could I just explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to give some flesh to the bones of the process that underlies these restriction order applications. 40 THE CHAIR: Right. 41 Page 31 42 This is of course that hy on, if you like, expedite 42 Page 31 43 The submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite 44 Page 31 45 The submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite 46 Page 31 47 The submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite 48 Page 31 40 The submissions, was could we not, if you like and be aubject to your review on a sample basis so that of course the they could could onte to the front of the fourte	20	is not quite to say this is all we are dealing with,	20	THE CHAIR: That is that.
are other issues being considered in parallel at the same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 1 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. 2 priority. 3 So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. 5 doubt then further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: 10 "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" 11 do the current process will address not only HMG an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to sample review by you, sir. 12 I am going to oom back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that he were dealing with. 23 G(3), position regarding the timing of the disclosure of the fill version of the police report, ie footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any	21	because, as you know, sir, there are various pieces of	21	MR WATSON: Could I just explain on the police documents,
same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 1 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. 2 priority. 3 So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any sassessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: 10 "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" 13 And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. 1 I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 24 Taised, or rather your team raised in their initial submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite Page 31 1 those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could come to the front of the queue. Could I just explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to going to work, and also I think it might assist just to going to work, and also I think it might assist just to a was leave stage and she process will address not only HMG and the restriction order applications are restriction order applications. THE CHAIR: Right. 9 MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document r	22	work being done on the rule 9 processes as well, there	22	this is of course material that originates from HMG but
efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific Page 29 disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents behave to that is not a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised and Lean understand from all all and yes and the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. Bay assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could come to the front of the queue. Could I just explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to could come to the front of the explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to capilla about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to underlies these restriction order applications. THE CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to i	23	are other issues being considered in parallel at the	23	was in the police's possession. The point that you
disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. 3 So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample basis so that of course then they could come to the front of the queue. Could I just explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to give some flesh to the bones of the process that underlies these restriction order applications. THE CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. And the short and as against each ot	24	same time, but the fewer there are of those, the more	24	raised, or rather your team raised in their initial
disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that we are dealing with. 11 a going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents. In particular, any 11 those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could cond a sample basis so that of course then they could cond of the queue. Could I just explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to give some flesh to the bones of the process that underlies these restriction order applications. THE CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there	25	efficiently we can focus our resources on the specific	25	submissions, was could we not, if you like, expedite
disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the priority. So those I hope are the first, second and third caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that we are dealing with. 11 a going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents. In particular, any 11 those documents which had already been subject to your review on a sample basis so that of course then they could cond a sample basis so that of course then they could cond of the queue. Could I just explain a bit more about why in practice that is not going to work, and also I think it might assist just to give some flesh to the bones of the process that underlies these restriction order applications. THE CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there		D 20		D 24
2 priority. 3 So those I hope are the first, second and third 4 caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any 5 assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing 6 out. 7 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are 8 the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) 9 was: 9 "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part 10 of the current process will address not only HMG 11 of the current process will address not only HMG 12 documents deemed relevant but also the content of" 13 And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to 14 return to the police documents because I know there was 15 a question raised and I can understand from 16 an outsider's point of view, ic those not directly 17 involved in the process, there is a question raised, why 18 can't you deal with the police documents that have 19 already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. 20 I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short 21 answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known 22 universe of documents that we are dealing with. 23 6(3), position regarding the timing of the police report, ie 24 disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 25 footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 2 review on a sample basis so that of courle of the front of the queue. Could I just 2 explain a bit more about why in practice that is not 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I		Page 29		Page 31
2 priority. 3 So those I hope are the first, second and third 4 caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any 5 assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing 6 out. 7 Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are 8 the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) 9 was: 9 "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part 10 of the current process will address not only HMG 11 of the current process will address not only HMG 12 documents deemed relevant but also the content of" 13 And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to 14 return to the police documents because I know there was 15 a question raised and I can understand from 16 an outsider's point of view, ic those not directly 17 involved in the process, there is a question raised, why 18 can't you deal with the police documents that have 19 already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. 20 I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short 21 answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known 22 universe of documents that we are dealing with. 23 6(3), position regarding the timing of the police report, ie 24 disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 25 footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 2 review on a sample basis so that of courle of the front of the queue. Could I just 2 explain a bit more about why in practice that is not 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I think it might assist just to 2 going to work, and also I	1	disclosure exercise and stage 2 of course being the	1	those documents which had already been subject to your
caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) Was: Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have are dealing with. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known understand for the cave and the full version of the police report, ie doctoments underlying documents. In particular, any	2	priority.	2	review on a sample basis so that of course then they
sassessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing out. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come flesh to the bones of the process that underlies these restriction order applications. THE CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any	3	So those I hope are the first, second and third	3	could come to the front of the queue. Could I just
cout. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6 give some flesh to the bones of the process that underlies these restriction order applications. 7 THE CHAIR: Right. 9 MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications are well under way and nearing completion. 11 The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie from what you have said already this morning that you	4	caveats that I think properly, sir, must inform any	4	explain a bit more about why in practice that is not
Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are the further note. 6(2) THE CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications. THE CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against on whe existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand from what you have said already this morning that you	5	assessment we give as to how we anticipate this playing	5	going to work, and also I think it might assist just to
the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2) was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the documents in the full version of the police report, ie for the CHAIR: Right. MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the applications are well under way and nearing completion. The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand from what you have said already this morning that you	6	out.	6	give some flesh to the bones of the process that
was: "Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG address not only HMG The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all virthin, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all virthin, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all virthin as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all virthin, as I say,	7	Could I then please turn to 6(2) and (3), which are	7	underlies these restriction order applications.
"Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part of the current process will address not only HMG address not only HMG The making of the application, of course, sir, is the final part of a long process in terms of making the application. The series of internal steps that are return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all within as I say, the known answer is a question. The series of internal steps that are involved requires each of the application. The making of the application. The series of	8	the further ILT questions in their further note. 6(2)	8	THE CHAIR: Right.
of the current process will address not only HMG documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6 (3), position regarding the timing of the documents in the process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process in terms of making the final part of a long process of internal steps that are involved requires each of the relevant government departments to review the materials, to identify if any feature part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before th	9	was:	9	MR WATSON: As I have said, the restriction order
documents deemed relevant but also the content of" And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all within as I say, the known answer is	10	"Confirmation that HMG's other applications as part	10	applications are well under way and nearing completion.
And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and there answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known and the process, there is a question raised, why the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie from what you have said already this morning that you	11	of the current process will address not only HMG	11	The making of the application, of course, sir, is the
return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and tropic internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie from what you have said already this morning that you	12	documents deemed relevant but also the content of"	12	final part of a long process in terms of making the
return to the police documents because I know there was a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the departments to review the materials, to identify if any part of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and tropic internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie from what you have said already this morning that you	13	And the short answer to that is yes. I am going to	13	application. The series of internal steps that are
a question raised and I can understand from an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the departments to review the materials, to identify if any departments to review the materials, to identify if any bart of the document risks harm to a public interest in the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand from what you have said already this morning that you	14		14	
involved in the process, there is a question raised, why can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 17 the event of open disclosure. Those client responses are then collated and reviewed and, of course, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand from what you have said already this morning that you	15	a question raised and I can understand from	15	
can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. Can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. Can't you deal with the police document, subject to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any from what you have said already this morning that you	16	an outsider's point of view, ie those not directly	16	part of the document risks harm to a public interest in
can't you deal with the police documents that have already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known answer is yes, it is all within	17	involved in the process, there is a question raised, why	17	the event of open disclosure. Those client responses
already been subject to a sample review by you, sir. I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 19 to careful scrutiny as against each other and as against now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand from what you have said already this morning that you	18		18	
I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 20 now the existing rulings that you have made, and there is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand from what you have said already this morning that you	19		19	
answer is yes, it is all within, as I say, the known universe of documents that we are dealing with. 6(3), position regarding the timing of the disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 21 is in practice some and sometimes considerable to and fro internally with respect to those applications to ensure that they are properly refined before they make it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand from what you have said already this morning that you	20	I am going to come back to that if I may. But the short	20	now the existing rulings that you have made, and there
23 6(3), position regarding the timing of the 24 disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 25 footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 26 ensure that they are properly refined before they make 27 it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand 28 from what you have said already this morning that you	21		21	
23 6(3), position regarding the timing of the 24 disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 25 footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 26 ensure that they are properly refined before they make 27 it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand 28 from what you have said already this morning that you	22		22	_
disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie 24 it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand footnotes underlying documents. In particular, any 25 from what you have said already this morning that you	23	6(3), position regarding the timing of the	23	ensure that they are properly refined before they make
	24	disclosure of the full version of the police report, ie	24	it to you, sir. You will anticipate, and I understand
Page 30 Page 32	25		25	
Page 30 Page 32				

appreciate that we have been anxious to ensure that the applications that are made are made mindful of what you applications that are made mindful of what you applic	
have already ruled and sensitive to that. So with that in mind, those HMG applications that are yet to come, in fact, are already a long way down that process, there are matters that we consider we have not explain fully and actually require further elucidation, we have to give consideration to that and the clients have to	ed
4 in mind, those HMG applications that are yet to come, in 5 fact, are already a long way down that process, 5 to give consideration to that and the clients have to	ed
5 fact, are already a long way down that process, 5 to give consideration to that and the clients have to	
6 ie client departments have already given their views on 6 review that. So a ruling that comes back, it is not	
7 the relevant material, they are in the process of being 7 simply in practice, yes or no. It is often yes, no, or	
8 collated and there is that to-ing and fro-ing, and the 8 maybe or if I hear more on this, perhaps.	
9 police documents 9 So that process is also ongoing. As you have heard	
10 THE CHAIR: Go on. 10 already, we have started to have some of those come by	ack
11 MR WATSON: The police documents are in that process, so in 11 to us, some of the rulings. In some instances we have	
many instances we already have some client responses. 12 been given, for example, two weeks to respond to	
13 I think it may even be the case, but I don't want to 13 specific questions that have been made in them, and so	,
14 commit to the detail of this, but it may well be in 14 we are trying to factor, and we are trying, we are	
every instance we have had some client department 15 factoring, into these processes, that to-ing and	
response to the police documents. So they are in train, 16 fro-ing, if you like, as well. And that obviously, the	
but what was not going to be, with the greatest of 17 resource, if you like, has to be managed at our end,	
18 respect, sensible was to isolate them from the process, 18 normally by I should say the solicitors of the	
19 take them out of turn, put them to the front of the 19 Government Legal Department, as to where it is best	
20 queue, if you like, and consider them separately, 20 deployed, do we have, for example, a restriction order	
21 because we already have some responses, there are 21 application that looks relatively straightforward in	
enormous schedules being generated, as you know, and 22 terms of how it will play out that should be put to the	
then refined. They are in train and they are on their back of the queue, so that instead focus can be directed	l
24 way. 24 towards a ruling that has come back where there is	
25 So I hope that that explains more fully why it is 25 a more significant issue.	
Page 33 Page 35	
1 that what looked like a very sound, and I could well 1 I explain all of that, I hope in some detail, in	
2 understand the basis upon which it was proposed, in fact 2 order to assist with giving some reassurance that we a	e
3 would at this stage only prove disruptive to what is 3 all working incredibly hard to meet the 19 April	
4 now, I hesitate to suggest, a relatively efficient 4 deadline. The headline points are that we can assure	
5 process of providing these applications and getting them 5 you, sir, and other core participants that, at	
6 to you. 6 a minimum, the vast majority of stage 2 disclosure wi	l
7 THE CHAIR: That is the answer to the why not promote the 7 be made on time and in accordance with your direction	
8 Verbasco document. 8 last year. The prospect of there being any slippage at	
9 MR WATSON: Precisely, because they are already in train. 9 all has reduced in light of the further note from ILT	
10 THE CHAIR: I've got that. 10 and the reassurances that are given there, and it may	
11 MR WATSON: Thank you. I should say that I think also 11 well be, sir, there will be perfect compliance, as	
should address head on the concern expressed on the part 12 I know is expected of us, of any direction that you	
13 of the family that the applications with respect to 13 make, and we are obviously seeking to achieve that.	Ve
police material will not be made after the 19 April 14 are though, and I hope it is clear from all that I have	
deadline. That is not the case. We do anticipate that 15 said, if and to the extent it transpires that we cannot	
they will be made in time, of course, for the final 16 perfectly meet that deadline and that existing	
17 resolution of the issues at the 15 March hearing. That 17 direction, we cannot see that it will have any impact	
18 is the process that we are working towards. 18 whatsoever given the nature of the issues that we are	
19 I should also explain I recognise this is rather 19 dealing with at that very end stage, that that will have	
20 tedious but it is helpful, I think, to put some flesh on 20 any impact whatsoever on any core participant's abilit	7
21 the bones of what is actually involved here. 21 to prepare for the hearing date in October.	
22 Of course the making of the application is not the 22 THE CHAIR: Right.	
23 end of the process. The application is made, sir, it 23 MR WATSON: Could I just finally address should there	be
24 goes to you obviously and for your team to review. We 24 further directions made.	-
25 then receive, not always but often on a provisional 25 I have sought to give an insight, I hope it is	
Page 34 Page 36	

1	helpful, as to what is required at our end, and I would	1	effectively, in which they can then be disclosed onwards
2	respectfully urge you, sir, to afford us a degree of	2	to other core participants, notably the family. There
3	flex in how we approach these outstanding issues. It is	3	would be a certain amount of processing which would have
4	a question of managing resources, and of a very	4	to be done by the inquiry's team immediately after that
5	different nature: a client expert resource on the one	5	but that is not what is concerning me.
6	hand, solicitor expertise on the other, counsel	6	What is hidden behind that is the question of what
7	resource, and actually different levels of counsel	7	volume of restriction order applications may come in
8	resource; all of that has to be managed and is being	8	very late before 19 April. If an enormous quantity of
9	managed by the GLD solicitors, and I would respectfully	9	those arrives in the week before 19 April, you will not
10	say expertly.	10	have complied with this direction.
11	It is not in fact, in my respectful submission,	11	MR WATSON: Of course, sir.
12	going to assist if they are given bright lines within	12	THE CHAIR: As I am quite sure you understand.
13	the time period that we have to achieve what we all know	13	MR WATSON: And we wouldn't then blame you, sir. Of course
14	to be the end goal, which is stage 2 disclosure by	14	not.
15	19 April. So, sir, I can understand the desire to step	15	THE CHAIR: There is no point. The question is therefore,
16	into the fray and insist on deadlines for X, Y, Z,	16	in the assurances which you have been helpfully giving
17	I hope I have made clear that we are genuinely working	17	me, is there built in the understanding that in order to
18	as hard as we can and that actually flexibility assists	18	achieve a final set of not applications but concluded
19	us.	19	redacted documents by 19 April, they have got to be
20	As to a route map, as to where we go, there are in	20	reaching the inquiry legal team in time for them and
21	fact I know very regular discussions that take place in	21	indeed me to deal with them well before then.
22	closed. We can of course give further information and	22	MR WATSON: I know the answer. I have been told it again.
23	explain what we anticipate is coming down the pipeline,	23	The answer is yes. When I say all that I do about
24	but the reality is, sir, that some of it is actually	24	19 April, it is having regard to what the direction is,
25	genuinely outside our control. Police documents come to	25	the content of it and what it means. We understand
	Page 37		Page 39
	Tage 57		Tage 57
1	us, we have to review them. Quite the nature and extent	1	that, sir, there is no difference between us as to what
2	of the issues that arise only often becomes apparent as	2	it means. We understand too what that means by way of
3	we go through the documents. So I would urge, if I may,	3	making applications before you and our having
4	a respectful hands off to allow us to continue what we	4	an opportunity, if necessary, to further those
5	are doing.	5	applications before you and then to implement the
6	THE CHAIR: That is persuasively put, Mr Watson. Let me	6	decisions that
7	just ask a question.	7	THE CHAIR: You have taken into account, is what you are
8	MR WATSON: Yes.	8	telling me, not only your own internal processing but
9	THE CHAIR: I preface it by saying this: I am aware, as you	9	the fact that once the applications are received, they
10	are, but there are others, especially the family, who	10	have got to be dealt with by the team and I've got to
11	simply cannot be, of the way in which the rolling	11	see them as well.
12	restriction order procedure is going on.	12	MR WATSON: Absolutely.
13	MR WATSON: Yes.	13	THE CHAIR: If you have taken that into account, that is as
14	THE CHAIR: And the restriction order applications are being	14	much as I can ask. I will just say this, you and
15	made in step-by-step tranches and they are receiving	15	Ms McGahey have been very tactfully making what I will
16	attention first from my counsel and then, I am afraid,	16	call the resources submission to me over the course of
17	also from me, literally week by week. That is going on	17	the last two years now. If in the end this comes down
18	and I don't underestimate at all the amount of effort	18	to resources, we have reached the point at which
19	and energy which is required of those who are behind you	19	additional ones are going to have to be provided.
20	and, for that matter, of you.	20	MR WATSON: I am very grateful you raise that because I did
21	But the question is this and, frankly, if that	21	want to make a very short point on it, if I may. We
22	were not happening, it simply couldn't operate at all.	22	obviously keep the issue of resources under very careful
23	But the question is this. The direction that I gave	23	review. It is important though to emphasise that this
24	nearly a year ago now was that by 19 April, there would	24	is not a question simply of which I know you are not
25	be available the final redacted documents in a form,	25	suggesting, sir it is not a question of throwing more
			Page 40
	Page 38		

1	people at it. In fact, as this pyramid, if you like, we	1	Submissions by MS GIOVANNETTI
2	get to the top of the pyramid, it is increasingly	2	MS GIOVANNETTI: Only very briefly, I think. I will not
3	important that whoever gets to the top knows what has	3	reiterate the careful detailed time-consuming process
4	happened already, understands your rulings, has read	4	this morning to the restriction order applications.
5	your rulings, has seen them applied across three other	5	Thank you very much. I hope everybody has seen from our
6	government departments, so in actual fact at this	6	written submissions the approach we have adopted, which
7	particular juncture, sir, resourcing is only going to	7	is to make the applications in four tranches. I can
8	get us so far but it is under review, and we have take	8	confirm, and I hope this will be reassuring to the
9	on board all you have said, sir, but I can assure you in	9	family, that we are on track to do that as planned. Two
10	fact the reality is this will not be disturbed by a	10	have already been made, another one is due this month
11	question of resourcing, it is more likely there is	11	and the final one early in March. The relevant
12	a Rumsfeldian unknown unknown, and that's why, I am	12	underlying documents from the police report are included
13	afraid, we have to put the caveat.	13	in those four tranches.
14	THE CHAIR: I understand that and there is not much anybody	14	THE CHAIR: Say that again, the
15	can do about the genuinely unknown unknowns. What I am	15	MS GIOVANNETTI: The relevant underlying documents to the
16	concerned should get through to those who are not here,	16	police report are included in those four tranches, as
17	some distance behind you, is that we have passed the	17	are the HMG documents and indeed the Wiltshire Police
18	point where proper regard for the other commitments of	18	documents, so we think it is pretty comprehensive. The
19	all those who are busy at this and lots of other things	19	only thing that is really outside our control is the
20	to do with other world events, we are past the point at	20	remaining responses to our requests on the international
21	which this can be managed entirely internally without	21	material.
22	regard to the absolute imperative of meeting this	22	I think, since Ms Whitelaw prepared her submissions,
23	timetable.	23	in fact the open list has gone into the updated bundle
24	MR WATSON: I understand that, sir.	24	and is now in tab 8(a). I hadn't seen that either but
25	THE CHAIR: I know you do, Mr Watson, but I am going to say	25	it has gone in. So that is now available and that
	Page 41		Page 43
1	it again. The hearing is going to happen on 14 October.	1	confirms that we have had responses from 90 per cent of
2	In order for it to happen on 14 October, core	2	international partners. Since we sent you our original
3	participants have got to have time to prepare properly	3	closed update, there has been a further response. We
4	for it and that means meeting the date of 19 April,	4	are doing all we can to chase them, but we are
5	which was given now nearly a year ago, and it will mean	5	optimistic that everything should be in place for the
6	timetabling between now and then. As with all case	6	early March deadline.
7	timetabling, one works backwards. You start with the	7	THE CHAIR: Right.
8	hearing date and work backwards.	8	MS GIOVANNETTI: Unless there was anything else you wanted
9	MR WATSON: Of course, sir.	9	assistance from us on, sir
10	THE CHAIR: Anyway, you have that, I know, but I wanted it	10	THE CHAIR: No. You have heard what I said to Mr Watson, it
11	to get through loud and clear to those behind you.	11	applies equally to you.
12	MR WATSON: I understand. I think all I can properly say on	12	MS GIOVANNETTI: Absolutely, yes. Thank you.
13	their behalf is that the resourcing is under review and,	13	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Mr Berry.
14	with the greatest of respect, I don't think it is	14	Submissions by MR BERRY
15	a resourcing issue	15	MR BERRY: Thank you, sir. Two issues on restriction
16	THE CHAIR: It may not be and if it isn't, the point doesn't	16	orders. First, of the Wiltshire Police documents that
17	arise, but it will not be acceptable as an excuse.	17	were disclosed to you in stage 1, 82 were deemed
18	MR WATSON: No, of course, I understand.	18	relevant by your legal team and all those documents are
19	Unless I can assist further on the first part	19	being given anxious consideration not just by Wiltshire
20	THE CHAIR: I don't think so, Mr Watson, thank you very much	20	Police but by others for sensitivity and, for our part,
21	indeed.	21	we will be able to make our restriction order
22	Ms Giovannetti, do you want to come in at this	22	applications ahead of the April deadline, bearing in
23	stage?	23	mind of course the stage of the process that takes
24	_	24	place
25		25	THE CHAIR: It is no good doing it on the 18th.
	D 45		
	Page 42		Page 44
			11 (Pages 41 to 44)

	AM DEDDY ALL LA		
1	MR BERRY: Absolutely.	1	may I approach it as you have yourself, namely starting
2	Those 82 documents have recently been provided to	2	at the end, and I had a very constructive, as you may be
3	Operation Verbasco and HMG so that they may give	3	aware, discussion with Andrew O'Connor two days ago and
4	consideration to any sensitivities specific to their	4	it is interesting that in fact it was an approach that
5	interests in those documents.	5	he was grappling with at the time, and it is perhaps
6	And that leads to the second point, which is that by	6	an unusual way of approaching this, but one is already
7	way of reciprocation Operation Verbasco has recently	7	thinking about October as an effective date and being
8	offered Wiltshire Police the opportunity to review their	8	prepared for that. Working backwards, and dealing with
9	relevant documents to establish whether there are any	9	what the family has to deal with, is that from April
10	areas of sensitivity relating to Wiltshire Police not	10	onwards, it is a six-month period in which the family
11	covered by their own restriction order applications.	11	have to make the preparations for the inquiry. Now,
12	THE CHAIR: Yes.	12	I am going to divide that up slightly, because once one
13	MR BERRY: How soon we can do that depends on how quickly	13	gets for the moment I leave aside matters that appear
14	the documents are provided, how many documents there are	14	to be achievable and hopefully they will be achievable,
15	and what is in the documents, and on those three things	15	I leave those to one side, the ones that may have
16	all we know is that the quantity of the documents is not	16	a caveat attached to them, for the moment however, at
17	insignificant, but I can of course assure you, sir, that	17	some stage after 19 April, we will receive the
18	when the documents arrive, the process of reviewing them	18	disclosure that is due.
19	will be given top priority, bearing in mind how firmly	19	THE CHAIR: Yes. Not immediately but within a pretty short
20	the April deadline has been expressed and, moreover,	20	time.
21	I am reasonably optimistic that there will be few, if	21	MR MANSFIELD: Yes, well that was going to be the first
22	any, Wiltshire Police specific sensitivities that have	22	observation, that we are well aware it will not be the
23	not already been picked up by the team of Operation	23	day after or even the week after and it might take up to
24	Verbasco.	24	a month before we get it all, but I say no more.
25	THE CHAIR: That is what I was going to pick up. What are	25	THE CHAIR: I hope not.
	Page 45		Page 47
1	the prospects of Wiltshire Police having grounds to ask	1	MR MANSFIELD: Given what is being said about resources and
2	for a restriction order when neither His Majesty's	2	all the rest, may we say on that topic, we have
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		an the rest, may we say on that topic, we have
3	Government nor Operation Verbasco have done so.	3	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that
3 4	Government nor Operation Verbasco have done so. MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation		
	•	3	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that
4	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation	3 4	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources.
4 5	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police	3 4 5	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources,
4 5 6	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one	3 4 5 6	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted
4 5 6 7	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of,	3 4 5 6 7	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more
4 5 6 7 8	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course	3 4 5 6 7 8	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout. THE CHAIR: Yes, I am sure.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know from my learned friend's observations about the nature
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout. THE CHAIR: Yes, I am sure. MR BERRY: Thank you, sir.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know from my learned friend's observations about the nature and quantity of other material that is being, as it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout. THE CHAIR: Yes, I am sure. MR BERRY: Thank you, sir. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Berry.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know from my learned friend's observations about the nature and quantity of other material that is being, as it were, surveyed at the moment, we are no wiser as to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout. THE CHAIR: Yes, I am sure. MR BERRY: Thank you, sir. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Berry. Mr Mansfield.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know from my learned friend's observations about the nature and quantity of other material that is being, as it were, surveyed at the moment, we are no wiser as to the nature or the quantity of that material, so I leave that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout. THE CHAIR: Yes, I am sure. MR BERRY: Thank you, sir. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Berry. Mr Mansfield. Submissions by MR MANSFIELD	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know from my learned friend's observations about the nature and quantity of other material that is being, as it were, surveyed at the moment, we are no wiser as to the nature or the quantity of that material, so I leave that to one side. However, the main material, we still don't
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout. THE CHAIR: Yes, I am sure. MR BERRY: Thank you, sir. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Berry. Mr Mansfield. Submissions by MR MANSFIELD MR MANSFIELD: Yes, thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know from my learned friend's observations about the nature and quantity of other material that is being, as it were, surveyed at the moment, we are no wiser as to the nature or the quantity of that material, so I leave that to one side. However, the main material, we still don't know. However, can I just for these purposes, and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout. THE CHAIR: Yes, I am sure. MR BERRY: Thank you, sir. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Berry. Mr Mansfield. Submissions by MR MANSFIELD MR MANSFIELD: Yes, thank you. May we thank you, first of all, for the reassurances	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know from my learned friend's observations about the nature and quantity of other material that is being, as it were, surveyed at the moment, we are no wiser as to the nature or the quantity of that material, so I leave that to one side. However, the main material, we still don't know. However, can I just for these purposes, and I assure you I don't intend to read any of it out, we
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR BERRY: In circumstances where CTP did an investigation and spoke obviously to a number of Wiltshire Police officers, there may be a sensitivity with respect to one of them that Operation Verbasco simply is not aware of, but I suspect that that is very unlikely, but of course we are obliged really to undertake the process, which we wouldn't be THE CHAIR: You can identify the possible pinch points in advance, can't you? MR BERRY: We are doing that already. There is a very fertile line of communication between the two teams and we have been greatly assisted by Operation Verbasco throughout. THE CHAIR: Yes, I am sure. MR BERRY: Thank you, sir. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Berry. Mr Mansfield. Submissions by MR MANSFIELD MR MANSFIELD: Yes, thank you. May we thank you, first of all, for the reassurances about the date and I don't, as it were, wish to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	earmarked that at a much earlier stage, suggesting that the government in fact provides sufficient resources. THE CHAIR: No, you need not worry about resources, Mr Mansfield. What you said in the past has been noted and understood but there are it is much more complicated MR MANSFIELD: I appreciate THE CHAIR: than simply numbers. MR MANSFIELD: Which is the reason why I am concerned for the family that we may not get the material, so that is one let's hope it is not a month, but that is one month knocked off the six. In that period we have to assimilate well, we don't know how much is actually going to be disclosed any more than we don't really know from my learned friend's observations about the nature and quantity of other material that is being, as it were, surveyed at the moment, we are no wiser as to the nature or the quantity of that material, so I leave that to one side. However, the main material, we still don't know. However, can I just for these purposes, and I assure you I don't intend to read any of it out, we have been provided with the CCTV, which is being

1	having that.	1	than an open ruling.
2	However, we have had the police report, as you know,	2	THE CHAIR: Yes, it will do.
3	and I have raised this with Mr O'Connor, so he knows, or	3	MR MANSFIELD: What I am leading to here is the issues that
4	he knew very roughly what I was going to say on this	4	the family may want to raise, preventability being one
5	topic, but that report, if you have it before you, as	5	of them, but there are many others and obviously I will
6	I say I am not intending to read any of it out but I do	6	exemplify what some of the others may be, to do with
7	ask that if you do have it, you could refer to	7	Novichok, movements, other parties and so on, the list
8	a particular passage as an example of the problems that	8	is quite extensive already in terms of issues, but in
9	are going to face the family. In fact, it is the	9	terms of tying those issues in with material, we cannot
10	section headed "Target".	10	do much more than look at the police report as it stands
11	THE CHAIR: Paragraph number?	11	and hopefully there will be other documents being
12	MR MANSFIELD: Paragraph 12, page 6. There are there 12,	12	disclosed after April 19, which will assist.
13	13, all the way through to 19, all those paragraphs.	13	However, the point I am coming to is this, that the
14	THE CHAIR: Sorry, 12 to what, to 19?	14	analysis of the material we get after the 19th is going
15	MR MANSFIELD: Yes.	15	to be fundamental to I am going to make a suggestion,
16	THE CHAIR: Yes.	16	if I may, I understand there is a hearing identified
17	MR MANSFIELD: The whole section on "the target" who it is	17	in May of this year, however that is I mention
18	obvious who it is.	18	THE CHAIR: May or March.
19	I don't know what opportunity you have had to study	19	MR MANSFIELD: That is very soon after April 19th. It may
20	the detail, however I would ask that you look at that	20	be possible to raise, as it were, the issues. The
21	carefully because you see immediately, I hope I am not	21	issues that are going to come up and need to be resolved
22	exaggerating it, there are some pretty astonishing	22	before the inquiry itself, I am going to use headings
23	observations in this section alone and if what is said	23	that Mr O'Connor has used, "Sequencing", in what order
24	in this section alone about the target, there is and	24	is the inquiry going to, as it were, address the
25	must be very much more material, or absence of material,	25	various is it going to be chronological, is it going
	Dagg 40		Dago 51
	Page 49		Page 51
1	which is of significance in this particular inquiry.	1	to be themed, is it going to be putting the Amesbury
1 2	which is of significance in this particular inquiry. I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this,	1 2	to be themed, is it going to be putting the Amesbury incident first. The difficulties with that are the
2	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this,	2	incident first. The difficulties with that are the
2 3	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of	2 3	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it
2 3 4	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is	2 3 4	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other
2 3 4 5	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more.	2 3 4 5	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections.
2 3 4 5 6	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are	2 3 4 5 6	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely
2 3 4 5 6 7	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in	2 3 4 5 6 7	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for example it should be the same on yours, so that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them. So there is sequencing, witnesses, issues, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for example it should be the same on yours, so that is page 53 onwards. There is nothing visible there, or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them. So there is sequencing, witnesses, issues, which comes back to the open/closed divide because and as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for example it should be the same on yours, so that is page 53 onwards. There is nothing visible there, or the succeeding pages. All together 17 pages in which,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them. So there is sequencing, witnesses, issues, which comes back to the open/closed divide because and as far as I know, the different inquiries have adopted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for example it should be the same on yours, so that is page 53 onwards. There is nothing visible there, or the succeeding pages. All together 17 pages in which, again, if we can just say, there must be questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them. So there is sequencing, witnesses, issues, which comes back to the open/closed divide because and as far as I know, the different inquiries have adopted different procedures here, where there is material that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for example it should be the same on yours, so that is page 53 onwards. There is nothing visible there, or the succeeding pages. All together 17 pages in which, again, if we can just say, there must be questions arising there and in relation to the open and closed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them. So there is sequencing, witnesses, issues, which comes back to the open/closed divide because and as far as I know, the different inquiries have adopted different procedures here, where there is material that can only be dealt with in the closed section.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for example it should be the same on yours, so that is page 53 onwards. There is nothing visible there, or the succeeding pages. All together 17 pages in which, again, if we can just say, there must be questions arising there and in relation to the open and closed divide, it would be of great help if we did have a gist.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them. So there is sequencing, witnesses, issues, which comes back to the open/closed divide because and as far as I know, the different inquiries have adopted different procedures here, where there is material that can only be dealt with in the closed section. That will require, at some early stage, before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for example it should be the same on yours, so that is page 53 onwards. There is nothing visible there, or the succeeding pages. All together 17 pages in which, again, if we can just say, there must be questions arising there and in relation to the open and closed divide, it would be of great help if we did have a gist. We don't have a gist of this material and, as I understand it, it relates to a closed ruling rather	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them. So there is sequencing, witnesses, issues, which comes back to the open/closed divide because and as far as I know, the different inquiries have adopted different procedures here, where there is material that can only be dealt with in the closed section. That will require, at some early stage, before the inquiry even begins the first day, a protocol of some kind as to what issues can be heard in public and what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I am going to isolate the point that I have behind this, and that is the question and the issue of preventability. I take it no further. But it is obvious from those paragraphs there is going to be more. Now, I don't know whether the questions that are already arising on that section alone are answered in the further report. My understanding is that they probably are not going to be answered in the further report, although there will be footnotes and underlying documents which may be of assistance, but they will not address the fundamental points that we may have on that issue. There are many other issues. Once you read the report, you will see there are many others. For example, on the issue of redactions, there are 17 pages of redactions, not all of them total pages, although the further you go into the report, starting at page, for example it should be the same on yours, so that is page 53 onwards. There is nothing visible there, or the succeeding pages. All together 17 pages in which, again, if we can just say, there must be questions arising there and in relation to the open and closed divide, it would be of great help if we did have a gist. We don't have a gist of this material and, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	incident first. The difficulties with that are the moment you begin down one path, you find that in fact it merges with a number of others that overlap other sections. So compartmentalisation is going to be extremely difficult and that is why we are going to need considerable time. We are very happy to adopt a suggestion that Mr O'Connor has made, namely that we sit down and do it together. So the most effective way of and of course that bears upon what witnesses are required, and we are interested to hear that witness statements are being sought at this moment. We are nowhere near being able to decide what witnesses may or may not be required until we see what the witness list is. The witness list bears upon sequencing and which order you are going to call them. So there is sequencing, witnesses, issues, which comes back to the open/closed divide because and as far as I know, the different inquiries have adopted different procedures here, where there is material that can only be dealt with in the closed section. That will require, at some early stage, before the inquiry even begins the first day, a protocol of some

1	can't. What questions can be asked in public and what	1	I wouldn't be surprised if he is, frankly, it isn't
2	can't.	2	really a question of resources. The shot across the
3	So again, just trying to approach it practically	3	bows in relation to resources was important but it may
4	speaking, one doesn't want a situation in which in the	4	not be the principal target.
5	middle of a witness you ask a question to discover it	5	MR MANSFIELD: No, it may not, I realise that could well be
6	cannot be answered, and many of these witnesses overlap	6	the case but whatever the real reason happens to be,
7	Amesbury and Salisbury, so again, it cannot be	7	notice must be given as soon as possible in order to get
8	compartmentalised. So the issue about what can be heard	8	it rectified in the best and most efficient way, and so
9	publicly and what cannot be heard, that also has to be	9	that the family are not placed in the sort of
10	at least canvassed in a round table forum prior to	10	straitjacket which we are worried about, an evidential
11	and my suggestion is this. Would you kindly consider	11	straitjacket dealing with the most important issues that
12	a hearing possibly mid June, end of June, in which the	12	will be facing the inquiry itself from day one, almost
13	matters I have just raised are canvassed, in order that	13	from day one, and all of this could be sorted, as it has
14	everybody knows as far as is possible, once we have had	14	so far there has been considerable collaboration, as
15	a chance to look at everything, to whittle it down	15	you have noticed, and we would wish to continue that, so
16	because we can't do that much before then because we	16	that we would want a meeting before any date which we
17	will have only got the material well, say the end	17	have suggested, mid June to end of June, for a hearing
18	of April	18	which is substantive in the sense that it is dealing
19	THE CHAIR: Understood.	19	with the core material for the inquiry itself and we say
20	MR MANSFIELD: at the latest. I hope that is	20	that is very important for everybody to know exactly
21	a practical suggestion in the hope that it can progress	21	what the situation is.
22	the inquiry itself.	22	I don't know whether there is any other topic I can
23	We are concerned about judgments being made about,	23	address because we have put most of it in written
24	well, there is more material but it will not impact on	24	submissions.
25	the family's preparation. We say that is all very well	25	THE CHAIR: You have and, Mr Mansfield, understand
	Page 53		Page 55
1	but if the party doing that regards a certain issue as	1	I absolutely accept how difficult it is when you are
1 2	but if the party doing that regards a certain issue as being outside scope, therefore they are not going to	1 2	I absolutely accept how difficult it is when you are batting in the dark.
2	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to	2	batting in the dark.
2 3	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view	2 3	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are.
2 3 4	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that.	2 3 4	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be
2 3 4 5	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't	2 3 4 5	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am
2 3 4 5 6	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there	2 3 4 5 6	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing
2 3 4 5 6 7	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were,	2 3 4 5 6 7	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and over which we know, as I say, very little, give notice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not sure but I would be very surprised if there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and over which we know, as I say, very little, give notice as soon as they recognise. For example, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not sure but I would be very surprised if there was a difficulty about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and over which we know, as I say, very little, give notice as soon as they recognise. For example, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not sure but I would be very surprised if there was a difficulty about that. MR MANSFIELD: I don't think a direction is necessary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and over which we know, as I say, very little, give notice as soon as they recognise. For example, if His Majesty's Government are unable to provide extra resources and that is going to be the continuing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not sure but I would be very surprised if there was a difficulty about that. MR MANSFIELD: I don't think a direction is necessary. THE CHAIR: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and over which we know, as I say, very little, give notice as soon as they recognise. For example, if His Majesty's Government are unable to provide extra resources and that is going to be the continuing refrain, if it is, one example, and I am glad you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not sure but I would be very surprised if there was a difficulty about that. MR MANSFIELD: I don't think a direction is necessary. THE CHAIR: No. Well, I have that and if you get the stage 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and over which we know, as I say, very little, give notice as soon as they recognise. For example, if His Majesty's Government are unable to provide extra resources and that is going to be the continuing refrain, if it is, one example, and I am glad you are shaking your head	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not sure but I would be very surprised if there was a difficulty about that. MR MANSFIELD: I don't think a direction is necessary. THE CHAIR: No. Well, I have that and if you get the stage 2 disclosure, at the end of April/beginning of May, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and over which we know, as I say, very little, give notice as soon as they recognise. For example, if His Majesty's Government are unable to provide extra resources and that is going to be the continuing refrain, if it is, one example, and I am glad you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not sure but I would be very surprised if there was a difficulty about that. MR MANSFIELD: I don't think a direction is necessary. THE CHAIR: No. Well, I have that and if you get the stage 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being outside scope, therefore they are not going to worry about that, we may take a very different view about that. We made it very clear in our argument I won't read it out submissions, it is a paragraph in there which if I can just ask you to bear in mind, it is paragraph 16 on page 5. We give the reasons why, in relation to materials that are yet to be, as it were, examined in detail, arise out of the supplementary ruling. I don't read it out but you will have seen the basic rationale for not objecting, although it is a reluctance, is because of the ciphering and so on. So those are points that are quite readily made. So we would ask therefore that there should be absolutely no slippage. If there is a risk of slippage, then we would ask those who are responsible for looking at the material over which there may be slippage and over which we know, as I say, very little, give notice as soon as they recognise. For example, if His Majesty's Government are unable to provide extra resources and that is going to be the continuing refrain, if it is, one example, and I am glad you are shaking your head	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	batting in the dark. MR MANSFIELD: Yes, we are. THE CHAIR: But it is a situation which has simply got to be coped with. Anyway, I have the submission, I am grateful, and you would like me to consider directing a hearing in mid June to consider effectively the issues of witnesses, sequencing, if you like, the topics to be addressed by the evidence MR MANSFIELD: That's right. THE CHAIR: and also those issues which are inevitably going to be closed and those which do not need to be closed. MR MANSFIELD: Yes. THE CHAIR: Well, I understand that and the supplemental submission is that there should be a useful out of court consultation first between you and the inquiry legal team; whether I need to direct that or not, I am not sure but I would be very surprised if there was a difficulty about that. MR MANSFIELD: I don't think a direction is necessary. THE CHAIR: No. Well, I have that and if you get the stage 2 disclosure, at the end of April/beginning of May, or

1	no authority for putting a date to it but if you get	1	THE CHAIR: Well, that is very helpful, Mr Mansfield. Thank
2	it then, you will have, won't you, four and a half	2	you very much.
3	months before 14 October?	3	Is there anything else you want to add?
4	MR MANSFIELD: Yes, that is true. We accept that.	4	MR MANSFIELD: No, thank you. No.
5	I am trying to look at this practically of having to	5	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Ms Whitelaw, do you want
6	deal with it. In fact we have far less because between	6	to come back?
7	the April date, end or middle, and sitting round a table	7	Further submissions by MS WHITELAW
8	and having a hearing in which one is sorting out issues	8	MS WHITELAW: Sir, only to say that of course we are
9	and witnesses, that is the effective period and it is	9	liaising with all core participants to manage the
10	very short.	10	efficient process of the inquiry and so we happily
11	THE CHAIR: Well, no, because you have got the period	11	engage with the family on various issues so we can
12	between the supply of the disclosed material and this	12	manage the processes and specifically ensure that the
13	planning meeting, or planning hearing	13	timetables that you have set are met.
14	MR MANSFIELD: Yes, it is going to be	14	THE CHAIR: Yes.
15	THE CHAIR: to digest at least the bulk of the material.	15	MS WHITELAW: Also, of course, we have had in mind and
16	MR MANSFIELD: Because we don't know what the bulk is, but	16	I have said that disclosure hasn't all awaited the end
17	one can speculate, and I will not do that but	17	of the restriction order process and we have already
18	THE CHAIR: The issues in this inquiry, by comparison with	18	identified, as I have said, further documents that we
19	many other inquiries, are comparatively limited. It is	19	will provide as soon as we possibly can, so the more
20	now this unfortunate lady came to die and what you have	20	documents the family can have, the sooner, the better.
21	previously and conveniently described as preventability.	21	THE CHAIR: Right, well, I am not going to say any more
22	Those are the issues.	22	about the timetable at the moment, at any rate. Thank
23	MR MANSFIELD: Well, may I say	23	you very much.
24	THE CHAIR: Who did it, how did they do it and was it	24	Do we move on to the arrangements for the hearing?
25	preventable.	25	MS WHITELAW: Sir, if I could address the remaining items
	Page 57		Page 59
1	MR MANSFIELD: There are some other major issues as well	1	then on the agenda, which I think I can take quite
2	that equate with those two. That is my concern, that in	2	shortly. As I have said, I have dealt already, insofar
3	that period between April and a round table discussion	3	as I need to, with item 2, which included the
4	and a hearing, to assimilate all of this, and the	4	international material on the completion of the police
5	preventability argument and submission and issue is very	5	report and item 3, disclosure.
6	broad indeed, which is why there is so much redaction in	6	So then it moves to witnesses, anonymity and special
7	the police report.	7	measures. I will take this slightly out of order, 5 and
8	So leaving aside the preventability, the internal	8	4.
9	question can I raise one question, and that is the	9	Following consideration of the submissions from core
10	•		rollowing consideration of the submissions from core
10	location of Novichok in Salisbury itself and the police	10	-
10 11	location of Novichok in Salisbury itself and the police report I am not going to read it out has in fact	10 11	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		participants, and as we indicated in our note, we
11	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before.	11	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and
11 12	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the	11 12	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after
11 12 13	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before.	11 12 13	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure.
11 12 13 14	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is	11 12 13 14	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach,
11 12 13 14 15	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature	11 12 13 14 15	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in
11 12 13 14 15	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you	11 12 13 14 15 16	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you highlighted were the main ones; unfortunately, they are not. There are about half a dozen that fall into that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date to consider other issues as well, so you will want to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you highlighted were the main ones; unfortunately, they are not. There are about half a dozen that fall into that bracket. I have in fact notified Mr O'Connor of my	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date to consider other issues as well, so you will want to consider firstly the date that is suitable and we are looking at May/June
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you highlighted were the main ones; unfortunately, they are not. There are about half a dozen that fall into that bracket. I have in fact notified Mr O'Connor of my thoughts as to what the major issues are going to be, so	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date to consider other issues as well, so you will want to consider firstly the date that is suitable and we are looking at May/June THE CHAIR: There is not much point looking at the anonymity
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you highlighted were the main ones; unfortunately, they are not. There are about half a dozen that fall into that bracket. I have in fact notified Mr O'Connor of my thoughts as to what the major issues are going to be, so he is not, and nor are you, in the dark as to what we	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date to consider other issues as well, so you will want to consider firstly the date that is suitable and we are looking at May/June THE CHAIR: There is not much point looking at the anonymity of witnesses until you decide who the witnesses are
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you highlighted were the main ones; unfortunately, they are not. There are about half a dozen that fall into that bracket. I have in fact notified Mr O'Connor of my thoughts as to what the major issues are going to be, so he is not, and nor are you, in the dark as to what we suggest they will be. I can't go further at the moment	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date to consider other issues as well, so you will want to consider firstly the date that is suitable and we are looking at May/June THE CHAIR: There is not much point looking at the anonymity of witnesses until you decide who the witnesses are going to be, so they go together, don't they?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you highlighted were the main ones; unfortunately, they are not. There are about half a dozen that fall into that bracket. I have in fact notified Mr O'Connor of my thoughts as to what the major issues are going to be, so he is not, and nor are you, in the dark as to what we suggest they will be. I can't go further at the moment because I do not have the materials but I suggest there	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date to consider other issues as well, so you will want to consider firstly the date that is suitable and we are looking at May/June THE CHAIR: There is not much point looking at the anonymity of witnesses until you decide who the witnesses are going to be, so they go together, don't they? MS WHITELAW: Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you highlighted were the main ones; unfortunately, they are not. There are about half a dozen that fall into that bracket. I have in fact notified Mr O'Connor of my thoughts as to what the major issues are going to be, so he is not, and nor are you, in the dark as to what we suggest they will be. I can't go further at the moment	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date to consider other issues as well, so you will want to consider firstly the date that is suitable and we are looking at May/June THE CHAIR: There is not much point looking at the anonymity of witnesses until you decide who the witnesses are going to be, so they go together, don't they?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	report I am not going to read it out has in fact pinpointed some dimensions that were not known before. That is just on the preliminary police report. So the actual locations and deployment of Novichok, the nature of Novichok, the link between Salisbury and Amesbury, is again a separate topic. I am afraid I would wish that the two issues you highlighted were the main ones; unfortunately, they are not. There are about half a dozen that fall into that bracket. I have in fact notified Mr O'Connor of my thoughts as to what the major issues are going to be, so he is not, and nor are you, in the dark as to what we suggest they will be. I can't go further at the moment because I do not have the materials but I suggest there	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	participants, and as we indicated in our note, we endorse the proposal that applications for anonymity and other special measures are put off to a hearing after the completion of stage 2 disclosure. Sir, if you are minded to agree with this approach, we invite you to consider a date for such a hearing in your directions following this hearing. You have obviously heard submissions about a possible June date to consider other issues as well, so you will want to consider firstly the date that is suitable and we are looking at May/June THE CHAIR: There is not much point looking at the anonymity of witnesses until you decide who the witnesses are going to be, so they go together, don't they? MS WHITELAW: Yes.

1	CH : A I :	,	
1	following the hearing.	1	available to core participants during those hearings.
2	The other issue then was the streaming of	2	There do remain matters of detail to resolve which
3	substantive open hearings. We set out in our original	3	may necessitate closed submissions. We propose that we
4	submissions that section 18 of the Inquiries Act	5	return to those matters at a later date but do make the
5	requires the chair to take such steps as he considers	6	following preliminary observations.
6 7	reasonable to secure that members of the public, including reporters, are able to attend the inquiry or	7	Firstly, whilst any departure from live broadcasting (Inaudible) must be justified, given the nature and
8	view a simultaneous transmission of proceedings, or to	8	extent of the restriction orders being made in these
9	obtain or view a record of evidence and documents given,	9	proceedings, you, sir, may well conclude that a short
10	produced or provided to the inquiry. No recording or	10	delay of, say, five minutes is a sensible and practical
11	broadcast of proceedings at an inquiry may be made	11	default option.
12	except at the request of, or with the permission of, the	12	Secondly, if there is to be any sort of delay,
13	chair in accordance with any terms on which permission	13	practical arrangements similar to those contained in the
14	is given, which must be consistent with any section 19	14	inquiry's protocol and measures to prevent the
15	restriction. Section 19 of the 2005 Act allows	15	disclosure of sensitive information during preliminary
16	restrictions to be imposed on attendance at the inquiry	16	hearings will need to be considered.
17	or any part of the inquiry or on the disclosure of any	17	Thirdly, a lengthy default delay
18	evidence or documents given, produced or provided to the	18	Operation Verbasco proposes 15 minutes will need to
19	inquiry.	19	be justified in evidence.
20	In recent years it has become the routine for public	20	Fourthly, exceptional applications, for example for
21	inquiries to live-stream hearings to the public and	21	the evidence of a witness not to be broadcast at all,
22	common practice, for example in the presently	22	will need to be considered on a case by case basis.
23	ongoing Covid and Horizon inquiries, is to provide a	23	Finally, at present, the next open preliminary
24	real-time feed to core participants using a live webinar	24	hearing after today is currently listed for
25	platform to which core participants are invited and also	25	15 March 2024. In update to our original submissions,
	Page 61		Page 63
1	to provide a delayed stream to a publicly accessible	1	we now propose, as I have said, that anonymity and
2	forum such as YouTube. Delays are usually between about	2	special measures applications are put off and that the
3	three and five minutes long. Recordings of the	3	hearing is to deal with the final restriction order
4	proceedings are then made available on the inquiry's,	4	applications as necessary and that we keep under review
5	usually later the same or the following day, together	5	whether an open and/or closed hearing is necessary.
6	with transcripts, and the delay allows for any matters	6	THE CHAIR: You must keep, must you not, for that period,
7	of sensitivity, for example inadvertent revelation of	7	mid March, the possibility of an open hearing if it is
8	security sensitive matters, to be addressed before it is	8	needed and, if there are restriction order controversies
9	broadcast online, thereby entering the public domain.	9	to be dealt with, then a closed hearing. Those will
10	We invited core participants' submissions on the	10	have to be done then.
11	broadcasting and streaming of the substantive open	11	MS WHITELAW: Yes, and while we have talked about April, of
12	hearings, and also the provision to core participants,	12	course core participants will want to have in mind that
13	in particular the family, of a live link during those	13	this hearing is the opportunity for final issues to be
14	hearings.	14	dealt with arising out of the restriction order
15	We explained in our note that both of these are	15	applications. So that may assist those looking at route
16	clearly desirable for reasons including the fundamental	16	maps and timetabling, to have that in mind.
17	principle of open justice and the need for	17	THE CHAIR: Right.
18	Dawn Sturgess' family to be able to follow proceedings	18	MS WHITELAW: But, as I say, we intend to keep this hearing
19	in realtime and to be able to give instructions	19	under review.
20	regarding the evidence both when the hearings are held	20 21	Sir, we confirmed in our submissions that the open
21	in Salisbury and in London. No core participant has		substantive hearings will commence in Salisbury at the
22	suggested that either should not be permitted. We	22 23	Guildhall on 14 October 2024; the London hearings will
23 24	therefore invite to you rule at the level of principle, firstly, that the substantive hearings should be	23	commence on 28 October 2024 and will take place at the International Dispute Resolution Centre in London.
25	broadcast and, secondly, that live links should be made	25	THE CHAIR: On the 28th?
23	•	-5	
	Page 62		Page 64

London, WC2A 1JE

1	MS WHITELAW: 28 October.	1	Op Verbasco observations that, to the extent there is
2	THE CHAIR: Right, thank you.	2	a longer delay, it may not in fact have a great impact
3	MS WHITELAW: Sir, unless I can assist you further, those	3	on the open notice principle and it may in fact, we
4	are my submissions.	4	would submit, also practically lead to fewer
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed.	5	interruptions, because I know that that has been the
6	Mr Watson.	6	experience of some of those around me where a longer
7	Further submissions by MR WATSON	7	delay has been afforded.
8	MR WATSON: Sir, very much more shortly on these issues, and	8	But I don't think we need to say more about that.
9	I think actually perhaps starting at the end because it	9	All will recognise, I am sure, that comparison with the
10	really goes back to the restriction order applications,	10	Post Office Inquiry, the Covid Inquiry or even the
11	ie the next hearing. I hope it is of some comfort to	11	Infected Blood Inquiry is not apt here and nor indeed,
12	Mr Mansfield, King's Counsel, who quite rightly asked	12	sir, are comparisons with London Bridge and Westminster
13	the question, or presents this, that if you know there	13	Bridge. Each presents different issues and you, sir,
14	is a problem, that we need to know in advance. Well,	14	will have to consider the specifics as they arise in
15	sir, we are listed to be back of you on 15 March and of	15	this particular context.
16	course, as at today's date, we are still a considerable	16	THE CHAIR: It is crossing my mind, Mr Watson, that the time
17	number of weeks I think 11 weeks away from	17	to resolve the detail of the length of the delay, given
18	19 April deadline and of course by then we will be only	18	there may well be consensus that there will need to be
19	five weeks away; so a much more nuanced and sensitive	19	one, could well be a good deal closer to the event than
20	assessment as to what is going to be achieved and of	20	now.
21	course you have heard all I have said about anticipating	21	MR WATSON: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, and I know that I should
22	and hoping that all can be done by 19 April but a much	22	say, there are technical aspects of this that are also
23	more sensitive assessment can be made then.	23	being addressed by those whom I represent with
24	Of course I accept what Ms Whitelaw has observed	24	THE CHAIR: Well, they have to have time to do that and so
25	about the restriction order application process and the	25	has everybody else. So it cannot be delayed too long.
	Page 65		Page 67
		1	
1	purpose of that hearing. We are well alive to that and	1	MR WATSON: No.
1 2	purpose of that hearing. We are well alive to that and that is already informing the decisions that we are	1 2	
2	that is already informing the decisions that we are		THE CHAIR: All right.
	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope	2	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points,
2 3	that is already informing the decisions that we are	2 3	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say.
2 3 4	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve.	2 3 4	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points,
2 3 4 5	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti?
2 3 4 5 6 7	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be broadcast and live link should be made available to CPs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to raise I completely adopt and endorse what Mr Watson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be broadcast and live link should be made available to CPs during those hearings and that any departure from either	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to raise I completely adopt and endorse what Mr Watson said about this being a very, very different context to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be broadcast and live link should be made available to CPs during those hearings and that any departure from either will require cogent justification in evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to raise I completely adopt and endorse what Mr Watson said about this being a very, very different context to some of the other inquiries that have been conducted in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be broadcast and live link should be made available to CPs during those hearings and that any departure from either will require cogent justification in evidence. As to the length of the default delay, I think that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to raise I completely adopt and endorse what Mr Watson said about this being a very, very different context to some of the other inquiries that have been conducted in recent times and are ongoing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be broadcast and live link should be made available to CPs during those hearings and that any departure from either will require cogent justification in evidence. As to the length of the default delay, I think that is a matter that ought properly to be considered later.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to raise I completely adopt and endorse what Mr Watson said about this being a very, very different context to some of the other inquiries that have been conducted in recent times and are ongoing. THE CHAIR: All right, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be broadcast and live link should be made available to CPs during those hearings and that any departure from either will require cogent justification in evidence. As to the length of the default delay, I think that is a matter that ought properly to be considered later. We recognise what is said about the appropriate time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to raise I completely adopt and endorse what Mr Watson said about this being a very, very different context to some of the other inquiries that have been conducted in recent times and are ongoing. THE CHAIR: All right, thank you. Mr Berry, do you want to add anything on this one?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be broadcast and live link should be made available to CPs during those hearings and that any departure from either will require cogent justification in evidence. As to the length of the default delay, I think that is a matter that ought properly to be considered later.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to raise I completely adopt and endorse what Mr Watson said about this being a very, very different context to some of the other inquiries that have been conducted in recent times and are ongoing. THE CHAIR: All right, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that is already informing the decisions that we are making about putting applications before you. So I hope there is no controversy about that date and the purpose that it can serve. Of course we would have no objection to then further hearings being listed in May and, as necessary, in June to consider the issues that will then arise. THE CHAIR: Yes. MR WATSON: Returning then to issue 4 on the agenda, the streaming of the substantive hearings, sir, I don't think so there is anything that I need to add at this stage beyond that which is set out in our written submissions; very happy to reassure you, sir, and Mr Bunting when he reaches this part of the transcript that there is no dispute as to the relevant principles and no objection to your ruling at the level of principle that the substantive hearing should be broadcast and live link should be made available to CPs during those hearings and that any departure from either will require cogent justification in evidence. As to the length of the default delay, I think that is a matter that ought properly to be considered later. We recognise what is said about the appropriate time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIR: All right. MR WATSON: Unless I can assist further on those points, I think that is all I need to say. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed. Ms Giovannetti? MS GIOVANNETTI: Nothing further from us on that, thank you very much, sir. THE CHAIR: Do you want to ask me to say anything about the length of the delay today? MS GIOVANNETTI: Not today, no. We agree that THE CHAIR: Is 15 minutes really going to be viable? MS GIOVANNETTI: We think it is, yes. Yes. But we note what your counsel has said about this needing to be justified in evidence. It may need to be addressed in closed. We would agree with all of that and I think there is probably very little that we can do in terms of generalities today. We will have specific matters to raise I completely adopt and endorse what Mr Watson said about this being a very, very different context to some of the other inquiries that have been conducted in recent times and are ongoing. THE CHAIR: All right, thank you. Mr Berry, do you want to add anything on this one?

```
1
       THE CHAIR: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Berry.
 2
           Does that occasion any kind of response,
 3
         Ms Whitelaw?
 4
       MS WHITELAW: No, thank you, sir.
 5
       THE CHAIR: Right.
 6
           Well, I am very grateful to everybody. Is there any
 7
        other topic which anybody else needs, rather than
 8
         wishes, but needs to raise today?
 9
           All right, well, I shall consider what directions to
10
         give. I have probably made the approach which I am
11
         likely to take -- which I do take -- sufficiently clear.
12
         For the moment, at least, the important thing is the
13
         timetable. That, at the moment, is as much as I am
14
         going to say in court.
15
           Thank you very much indeed for all your help.
16
       (11.59 am)
17
                (The hearing concluded)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                        Page 69
 1
 2
                     INDEX
 3
       Submissions by MS WHITELAW .....5
 4
       Submissions by MR WATSON .....24
 5
       Submissions by MS GIOVANNETTI ......43
 6
       Submissions by MR BERRY .....44
 7
       Submissions by MR MANSFIELD ......46
 8
       Further submissions by MS WHITELAW ......59
 9
      Further submissions by MR WATSON ......65
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                        Page 70
```

				1 480 7 1
A	62:8 67:23 68:15	53:6	11:1 14:16 16:5	45:17 48:23
ability 36:20	addressing 18:15	anticipate 23:15	16:20,25 17:14	astonishing 49:22
able 7:21,25 10:14	20:1 24:9	27:19 30:5 32:24	19:1,24 20:8,13	attached 47:16
44:21 52:14 61:7	adopt 14:23 52:8	34:15 37:23	23:4 26:4 27:1	attend 61:7
62:18,19	68:19	anticipating 65:21	31:2 34:14 36:3	attendance 61:16
absence 49:25	adopted 2:23 43:6	anxious 33:1 44:19	37:15 38:24 39:8	attention 27:22
absolute 16:16	52:20	anybody 5:17	39:9,19,24 42:4	29:9 38:16
41:22	advance 7:3 14:8	41:14 69:7	44:22 45:20 47:9	August 11:24
absolutely 9:9	29:10 46:12	anyway 42:10	47:17 51:12,19	21:15
10:12 11:10	65:14	46:25 56:5	53:18 57:7 58:3	author 17:18
22:20 40:12	advocates 2:12	apparent 11:20	64:11 65:18,22	authority 57:1
44:12 45:1 54:16	affect 19:10 27:21	38:2	April/beginning	available 4:10
56:1	afford 37:2	appear 1:11,16	56:24	13:11 17:13
accept 56:1 57:4	afforded 67:7	47:13	apt 67:11	25:24 26:2 38:25
65:24	afraid 38:16 41:13	application 7:12	areas 45:10	43:25 62:4 63:1
acceptable 42:17	58:17	8:19 11:18 18:18	argument 16:16	66:19
accessible 62:1	agencies 1:18 6:24	24:15 28:13	54:5 58:5	await 10:9
accommodate	9:4 24:11	32:11,13 34:22	arising 23:6 25:9	awaited 59:16
3:13	agenda 5:1,1,7	34:23 35:21	50:7,22 64:14	aware 24:14,14
account 7:21 40:7	10:5 23:22 60:1	65:25	arrangements	38:9 46:7 47:3
40:13	66:10	applications 4:19	4:15 59:24 63:13	47:22
achievable 16:8	ago 16:19 20:11	5:13 6:13,15,24	arrive 45:18	
47:14,14	22:8 38:24 42:5	7:1,3,6 8:7,15,17	arrives 39:9	B
achieve 36:13	47:3	8:25 9:3 14:9,14	arriving 28:2	B 3:21
37:13 39:18	agree 60:14 68:11	16:23 18:6,15	aside 28:3 47:13	back 10:1 23:22
achieved 16:12,18	68:16	20:7 24:10,17,19	58:8	26:24 30:20 35:6
65:20	agreed 14:13	24:25 29:5,19	asked 2:4 18:20,21	35:10,23,24
Act 61:4,15	ahead 17:2 44:22	30:10 32:7,10,22	21:21 22:5,15	52:19 59:6 65:10
actions 19:23	albeit 8:18	33:2,4 34:5,13	53:1 65:12	65:15
actual 41:6 58:14	alive 66:1	38:14 39:7,18	aspects 28:8 29:8	background 21:8
acute 6:21	allow 11:5 38:4	40:3,5,9 43:4,7	67:22	backwards 42:7,8
add 26:23 28:8	allows 61:15 62:6	44:22 45:11	assessment 26:3	47:8
59:3 66:12 68:24	Ambulance 2:3	60:11 63:20 64:2	26:25 30:5 35:1	balance 28:19
68:25	6:5	64:4,15 65:10	65:20,23	basic 54:12
addition 19:8,17	Amesbury 52:1	66:3	assimilate 48:15	basis 7:10 11:3
19:22 20:1	53:7 58:15	applied 7:5 15:14	58:4	24:18 27:9 32:2
additional 40:19	amount 18:16	41:5	assist 8:15 12:18	34:2 35:1 63:22
address 4:25 5:11	24:20 38:18 39:3	applies 44:11	14:8 32:5 36:2	batting 56:2 bear 54:7
11:6,15 17:11,25	analysis 51:14	apply 15:7	37:12 42:19	
18:6 19:13 21:4	and/or 64:5	appreciate 29:4	51:12 64:15 65:3	bearing 44:22
22:25 23:2,11	Andrew 47:3	33:1 48:9	68:3	45:19
25:25 28:12	anonymity 5:12	approach 16:13	assistance 44:9	bears 52:11,16
30:11 34:12	14:10,14 15:4	37:3 43:6 47:1,4	50:11	begins 52:24 behalf 1:16 2:3,5
36:23 50:12	29:18 60:6,11,21	53:3 60:14 69:10	assisted 46:15	24:8 42:13
51:24 55:23	64:1	approaching 47:6	assists 37:18	believe 22:12,12
59:25	answer 30:13,21	appropriate 3:16	assuming 31:5	benefit 25:2
addressed 10:5	31:3,4 34:7	9:16 26:10 66:24	assumption 28:6	Berry 2:1 44:13,14
11:23 18:20	39:22,23	approved 7:20 8:7	assurances 39:16	44:15 45:1,13
21:17 23:24 56:9	answered 50:7,9	April 5:6 6:15 9:11	assure 36:4 41:9	46:4,13,18,19
				10.7,13,10,17

				1 age 72
68:24,25 69:1	34:15 42:6 55:6	60:21 61:5,13	comfort 26:23	concerning 39:5
70:6	63:22,22	64:6,17,25 65:2,5	29:1 65:11	conclude 63:9
best 26:3 35:19	category 26:8	66:9 67:16,24	coming 1:4 17:3	concluded 39:18
55:8	cause 28:17	68:2,5,9,12,23	37:23 51:13	69:17
better 14:14 59:20	caveat 29:2,15	69:1,5	commence 64:21	conclusion 7:22
beyond 66:13	41:13 47:16	chance 53:15	64:23	conduct 4:16
bit 32:4	caveats 17:4 27:8	chances 31:13	commenced 6:18	21:19
blame 39:13	27:10 28:25 30:4	Charlie 1:15	commencement	conducted 68:21
Blood 67:11	31:4	chase 44:4	16:10	confidence 31:19
board 41:9	CCTV 10:17,22	chief 2:1	commit 33:14	confirm 12:11,21
bones 32:6 34:21	48:24	chronological	commitments	13:7 22:6 43:8
bows 55:3	cent 44:1	51:25	41:18	confirmation 18:5
bracket 58:20	central 3:20	cipher 12:16,17,20	common 61:22	18:12,21 30:10
Bridge 67:12,13	Centre 64:24	13:10 15:24	communicate 3:8	confirmed 64:20
briefcase 28:3	certain 25:5 39:3	ciphering 13:3,9	communication	confirms 44:1
briefly 3:13 4:25	54:1	54:13	46:14	consensus 14:21
18:13 21:4 43:2	certainly 14:25	circumstances	comparatively	67:18
bright 37:12	31:17	46:4	57:19	consider 7:1,21
bringing 29:8	chair 1:4,25 3:12	clear 14:20 19:23	comparison 57:18	8:1,5 11:13
broad 58:6	3:17 4:9 5:15,17	36:14 37:17	67:9	15:12 16:5 18:17
broadcast 61:11	5:20 6:17 7:23	42:11 54:5 69:11	comparisons	20:9 33:20 35:3
62:9,25 63:21	8:4,9 9:7 10:6,12	clearer 25:3	67:12	53:11 56:6,7
66:19	10:19,22,24 11:1	clearly 62:16	compartmentali	60:15,18,19 66:8
broadcasting 5:13	11:10,25 12:2,12	client 32:17 33:6	52:6	67:14 69:9
62:11 63:6	12:24 13:5,13,18	33:12,15 37:5	compartmentali	considerable 6:20
broadly 16:8	13:25 14:20 15:7	clients 35:5	53:8	32:21 52:8 55:14
brought 27:22	16:2,14 17:7,9,17	cliff-hanger 29:12	compilation 10:17	65:16
built 39:17	18:3 19:2,14,25	close 13:10,13	10:25	considerably
bulk 21:17 57:15	20:11 21:3 22:11	closed 4:16,21 7:8	complete 6:2	12:20
57:16	22:14,18,21	7:13,15,16,17,20	completely 68:19	consideration 4:18
bundle 2:25 3:19	23:19,25 24:6	21:24 23:9 25:4	completeness 4:10	35:5 44:19 45:4
4:11 5:2 6:16	26:15,19 27:24	37:22 44:3 50:22	completing 24:12	60:9
11:17 17:8 22:23	28:21 31:12,17	50:25 52:22	completion 5:5	considerations
43:23	31:20 32:8 33:10	56:12,13 63:3	13:10,13 24:16	11:5 15:7
Bunting 66:15	34:7,10 36:22	64:5,9 68:16	32:10 60:4,13	considered 6:12
busy 41:19	38:6,9,14 39:12	closer 67:19	compliance 36:11	6:22 7:9,11 9:1
	39:15 40:7,13	cogent 66:21	complicated 48:8	20:14 29:3,23
C	41:14,25 42:10	collaboration	complied 39:10	31:9,10 63:16,22
call 15:9 40:16	42:16,20 43:14	55:14	comprehension	66:23
52:17	44:7,10,13,25	collated 32:18	12:19	considers 17:13
called 14:7	45:12,25 46:11	33:8	comprehensive	25:23 61:5
candid 26:25	46:17,19 47:19	come 4:7 9:6,25	43:18	consist 3:22
candidly 26:3	47:25 48:5,10	10:1 19:10 23:22	concentrate 14:15	consistent 61:14
canvassed 25:20	49:11,14,16 51:2	26:17,24 30:20	concern 12:22	constable 2:1
53:10,13	51:18 53:19	32:3 33:4 35:10	22:1 23:11 34:12	constantly 10:13
careful 32:19	54:25 55:25 56:4	35:24 37:25 39:7	58:2	constructive 47:2
40:22 43:3	56:11,15,22	42:22 51:21 59:6	concerned 12:8	consultation 56:17
carefully 49:21	57:11,15,18,24	comes 35:6 40:17	16:15 17:1 41:16	contact 2:20
case 1:9 6:22 8:20	59:1,5,14,21	52:19	48:11 53:23	contained 63:13
10:16 16:9 33:13	,- ,- ,	-		
	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 480 73
content 18:7,9	24:24 25:1,5,7,13	deadlines 37:16	described 31:8	44:17 48:16
20:6 30:12 39:25	26:5,21 27:1,11	deal 5:2 8:11 10:3	57:21	51:12 57:12
context 67:15	27:23 28:1 29:6	12:13 16:23	designed 3:17	disclosure 5:6,9
68:20	29:10,15,17 30:1	27:23 30:18	12:18	6:1,10,10 9:10
continue 15:22	31:22 32:2,11,18	39:21 47:9 57:6	desirable 62:16	10:7,8 11:12
38:4 55:15	34:16,22 37:22	64:3 67:19	desire 37:15	13:12,22 14:16
continued 4:19	39:11,13 40:16	dealing 28:7,10	detail 20:5,23 21:1	16:1,4,7 17:14
continues 8:20	42:9,18 44:23	29:20 30:22	25:22 33:14 36:1	18:23 21:6 22:2
continuing 54:22	45:17 46:8 52:11	36:19 47:8 55:11	49:20 54:10 63:2	24:13 29:19 30:1
contrary 16:16	59:8,15 64:12	55:18	67:17	30:24 32:17 36:6
control 26:14	65:16,18,21,24	dealt 20:12 23:17	detailed 4:18	37:14 47:18
37:25 43:19	66:6	23:20 29:10	10:16 24:23 43:3	56:24 59:16 60:5
controversial	court 2:13 14:25	40:10 52:22 60:2	determinations	60:13 61:17
25:16	56:16 69:14	64:9,14	7:13,16	63:15
controversies 64:8	covered 8:1 45:11	debate 7:4	determine 23:5	discover 53:5
controversy 66:4	Covid 61:23 67:10	debated 58:25	29:7	discussion 47:3
conveniently 10:5	CPs 66:19	December 10:18	dictate 16:25	58:3
57:21	critical 20:13	decide 52:14 60:22	die 57:20	discussions 15:21
converting 7:23	criticism 27:18	deciding 15:15	difference 15:1	15:23 37:21
cooperation 4:19	crossing 67:16	decisions 9:1,4	40:1	dispute 64:24
coped 56:5	crucial 9:9	40:6 66:2	different 2:22 6:23	66:16
copied 15:16	CTI's 26:22	dedicated 1:8	15:7 37:5,7	disruptive 34:3
core 3:4,21,25 4:6	CTP 1:21 46:4	deemed 18:9 30:12	52:20,21 54:3	distance 41:17
4:20 5:10 6:11	current 18:6 23:14	44:17	67:13 68:20	disturbed 41:10
7:7 10:14 11:12	30:11	default 63:11,17	difficult 12:9	divide 25:4 47:12
11:13 13:23	currently 63:24	66:22	23:16 52:7 56:1	50:23 52:19
14:13 15:20,23		degree 37:2	difficulties 2:18	document 9:22,22
16:8,21 17:19	<u>D</u>	delay 2:17 62:6	52:2	17:19 25:6,10
18:19 21:6 22:9	dare 1:5	63:10,12,17	difficulty 56:20	32:16 34:8
23:4,23 36:5,20	dark 56:2 58:22	66:22 67:2,7,17	digest 57:15	documents 3:20
39:2 42:2 55:19	date 14:16 16:5	68:10	diligently 26:12	6:4,7,8,10,21 7:9
59:9 60:9 61:24	17:21 23:3 36:21	delayed 3:3,4 22:2	dimensions 58:12	8:17 9:14 10:10
61:25 62:10,12	42:4,8 46:24	62:1 67:25	direct 16:10 56:18	11:3 12:8,19
62:21 63:1 64:12	47:7 55:16 56:25	Delays 62:2	directed 35:23	13:1,2,12 14:17
coroner 7:25	57:1,7 60:15,17	demands 29:16	directing 56:6	15:3,14 16:7
correspondence	60:19 63:4 65:16	department 33:15	direction 14:17	18:8,10,24 19:9
22:5	66:4	35:19	16:18 21:1 36:7	19:18 25:3 26:2
Council 6:5	dated 3:23,24 12:5 21:15	departments 1:17	36:12,17 38:23	27:12,13 28:3,7
counsel 1:12,13,15	Dawn 1:14 62:18	6:24 9:4 20:25	39:10,24 56:21	28:10,11,15,16
1:24 5:25 17:25	day 9:20 47:23	24:11 29:16	directions 2:9,10	28:17 30:12,14
22:25 25:17 37:6	52:24 55:12,13	32:15 33:6 41:6	4:13 5:8 6:14,16	30:18,22,25 31:9
37:7 38:16 65:12	62:5	departure 63:6	23:3 36:24 60:16	31:21 32:1 33:9
68:14	days 12:6 22:8	66:20	60:25 69:9	33:11,16 37:25
counter-terrorism	47:3	depends 45:13	directly 30:16	38:3,25 39:19
6:23	deadline 14:16	deployed 26:11 35:20	disagree 5:18 disclose 10:14 11:8	43:12,15,17,18
couple 22:8 26:23 course 4:7 7:1 8:8	20:8 27:20 31:19	deployment 58:14	disclosed 8:8	44:16,18 45:2,5,9
11:4,7 15:12	34:15 36:4,16	derived 21:9	10:10,18,21 11:2	45:14,14,15,16 45:18 50:11
17:25 23:14 24:9	44:6,22 45:20	derogatory 26:16	18:8 21:13 39:1	51:11 59:18,20
11.43 43.14 44.9	65:18	uci ugatui y 20.10	10.0 41.13 37.1	31.11 37.10,40
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page /4
61:9,18	endorse 60:11	62:7 63:20	41:6,10 43:23	60:19 62:24 63:6
doing 27:4 38:5	68:19	exceptional 63:20	47:4 48:4 49:9	five 3:4 62:3 63:10
44:4,25 46:13	energy 38:19	excluded 8:3	52:3 57:6 58:11	65:19
54:1	engage 59:11	excursus 19:6	58:20 67:2,3	flesh 32:6 34:20
domain 62:9	enormous 24:20	excuse 42:17	factor 35:14	flex 37:3
doubt 18:2	33:22 39:8	excuse 42.17 excused 2:5	factoring 35:15	flexibility 37:18
dozen 9:3 58:19	ensure 11:8 16:11	excused 2.5 exemplify 51:6	fair 24:16	focus 4:1 14:15
drafted 26:22	20:4,20 21:1	exercise 5:3,5,23	fairly 31:15	29:25 35:23
drafting 26:5	32:23 33:1 59:12	6:1 23:15 24:12	fall 58:19	follow 29:19 62:18
due 4:17 8:8 11:4	ensuring 20:7	25:1,11,12 30:1	falls 31:7	followed 7:8
17:25 21:19	entering 62:9	existing 25:9 31:11	family 1:14 2:14	following 2:19 3:2
26:13 43:10	entirely 13:3 15:5	32:20 36:16	6:11 12:23 22:1	3:5 4:16 60:9,16
47:18	15:13 41:21	expect 19:23 27:5	22:4,9 34:13	61:1 62:5 63:5
duly 16:20	envisage 8:14	expected 36:12	38:10 39:2 43:9	footnote 18:11
duration 10:17	equally 28:18	expedite 31:25	47:9,10 48:12	footnoted 31:6
	44:11	expeditiously	49:9 51:4 55:9	footnotes 18:24
E	equate 58:2	24:13	59:11,20 62:13	19:8,18 30:25
earlier 10:11 48:3	erred 27:2	experience 26:10	62:18	50:10
early 43:11 44:6	especially 38:10	67:6	family's 53:25	footnoting 11:3
52:23	essential 1:8	expert 37:5	far 9:16 16:15,25	forces 1:20
earmarked 48:3	essentially 6:1	expertise 37:6	23:24 41:8 52:20	form 14:18 16:20
earnest 6:18	establish 45:9	expertly 37:10	53:14 55:14 57:6	38:25
East 1:21	estimate 13:16	experity 57.16 explain 10:16	February 1:1	forum 53:10 62:2
edge 29:12	ether 1:6	11:16 18:12 21:7	feed 61:24	found 5:1 7:14
effect 3:11 18:11	event 32:17 35:2	26:17 27:7,8	fertile 46:14	Foundation 2:4
effective 47:7	67:19	31:21 32:4 34:19	fewer 6:4 29:24	four 9:5 43:7,13
52:10 57:9	events 19:6 41:20	36:1 37:23	67:4	43:16 57:2
effectively 39:1	everybody 3:17	explained 8:12	filing 14:9	fourth 19:21
56:7	9:8 14:24,24,25	20:2 21:16 35:3	final 11:22 13:9	Fourthly 4:14
efficiency 13:20	15:15,16 16:17	62:15	16:7 23:2 31:6	63:20
efficient 8:16 14:3	19:14 20:14 43:5	explains 33:25	32:12 34:16	frankly 38:21 55:1
20:4 34:4 55:8	53:14 55:20	explore 16:17	38:25 39:18	fray 37:16
59:10	67:25 69:6	expressed 22:1	43:11 64:3,13	Friday 1:1
efficiently 21:20	evidence 14:7	34:12 45:20	finalise 12:11	friend 1:12,23
26:12 29:25	15:12 22:4 56:9	extends 12:20	finally 4:23 36:23	friend's 48:17
effort 9:17 38:18	61:9,18 62:20	extensive 51:8	63:23	fro 32:22
eight 10:17	63:19,21 66:21	extent 28:11 29:2	find 52:3	fro-ing 33:8 35:16
either 43:24 62:22	68:15	29:16 36:15 38:1	fine 28:18	front 32:3 33:19
66:20	evidential 55:10	63:8 67:1	firmly 28:5 45:19	full 18:23 30:24
electronic 3:10	exactly 24:18 27:5	extra 54:21	first 2:7,20 4:18,25	fully 13:23 33:25
elucidation 35:4	55:20	extremely 29:14	8:14 10:7,20	35:4
email 3:9 15:16	exaggerating	52:6	11:17,21 13:6	fundamental
emailing 2:20	49:22		14:9 18:4 21:7	50:12 51:15
emphasise 26:5,6	examined 25:10	F	24:3,4,9 28:25	62:16
26:20 29:2 40:23	48:25 54:10	face 49:9	30:3 38:16 42:19	further 2:8 3:23
emphasising 24:10	example 15:15	facilitate 6:9 15:25	44:16 46:23	4:16 8:19 12:21
encouragement	16:13 35:12,20	facing 55:12	47:21 52:2,24	16:23 20:5 21:4
29:13	49:8 50:15,18	fact 2:15 29:4,18	56:17	23:1 25:18,22
encouraging 13:14	54:20,23 61:22	33:5 34:2 37:11	firstly 17:11 27:11	26:22 30:8,8
16:4	ĺ	37:21 40:9 41:1		ĺ
	ı	ı	ı	<u> </u>

	I	I	I	I
35:4 36:9,24	30:13,20 31:9	happening 9:12,13	34:20 37:1 59:1	imperative 16:9
37:22 40:4 42:19	32:5 33:17 37:12	9:19 38:22	helpfully 39:16	41:22
44:3 50:4,8,9,17	38:12,17 40:19	happens 55:6	Henderson 1:16	implement 40:5
58:23 59:7,18	41:7,25 42:1	happily 59:10	hesitate 34:4	importance 24:12
65:3,7 66:6 68:3	45:25 47:12,21	happy 14:23 52:8	hidden 39:6	important 10:2
68:7 70:8,9	48:16 49:4,9	66:14	highlighted 58:18	11:7 40:23 41:3
future 8:17	50:2,5,9 51:14,15	hard 4:20 24:22	highly 12:16 13:22	55:3,11,20 69:12
	51:21,22,24,25	36:3 37:18	hitherto 31:14	imposed 61:16
G	51:25 52:1,6,7,17	harm 32:16	HMG 24:19,22	improving 13:2
gathered 22:24	54:2,22 56:12	head 25:25 34:12	25:23 26:7 29:16	inadvertent 62:7
generalities 68:18	57:14 58:11,21	54:24	30:11 31:22 33:4	Inaudible 63:7
generally 14:13	59:21 60:23	headed 49:10	43:17 45:3	incident 52:2
25:14	65:20 68:12	headings 51:22	HMG's 30:10	incidents 10:22
generated 33:22	69:14	headline 36:4	hold 6:4	included 43:12,16
genuinely 37:17	good 1:4 44:25	hear 20:14 24:3	holding 20:13	60:3
37:25 41:15	67:19	35:8 52:12	Home 1:16	includes 21:9
getting 9:10 34:5	government 1:17	heard 23:23 35:9	hope 3:15 4:2	including 2:14 6:4
Giovannetti 1:23	6:3,23 8:22 9:2,3	44:10 52:25 53:8	14:24,25 25:15	61:7 62:16
22:25 42:22 43:1	11:19 13:8 14:5	53:9 60:17 65:21	26:17 27:3,7	incorporates
43:2,15 44:8,12	17:11,13 18:13	hearing 1:3,7 2:5,9	30:3 31:16 33:25	20:20
68:6,7,11,13 70:5	19:13,23 20:4,20	2:10,10,12 3:3,7	36:1,14,25 37:17	increasingly 41:2
gist 22:5,7,14	24:3,8,11,24	3:8,9,20 4:2,5,7	43:5,8 47:25	incredibly 28:17
50:23,24	32:14 35:19 41:6	4:16,16,22 5:4,24	48:13 49:21	36:3
give 24:2 30:5 32:6	46:3 48:4 54:21	6:19 7:4,7 8:12	53:20,21 65:11	INDEX 70:2
35:5 36:25 37:22	Government's	10:15 14:8,10	66:3	indicate 14:5
45:3 54:8,19	17:6 18:8,22	17:20,21 22:1,10	hopefully 47:14	15:20
62:19 69:10	20:21,22 23:12	23:3,4,8,9,9,18	51:11	indicated 2:8 10:8
given 4:18 6:21	grappling 47:5	29:3 34:17 36:21	hoping 65:22	12:3 14:2,4 20:2
16:19 26:3,23	grateful 40:20	42:1,8 51:16	Horizon 61:23	60:10
33:6 35:12 36:10	48:25 56:6 69:6	53:12 55:17 56:7	hours 10:18	indicating 6:8
36:18 37:12 42:5	great 8:11 50:23	57:8,13 58:4	housekeeping 2:7	indication 29:13
44:19 45:19 48:1	67:2	59:24 60:12,15	4:23	indications 20:17
55:7 61:9,14,18	greater 31:19	60:16 61:1 63:24	hybrid 2:12	inevitable 25:6,8
63:7 67:17	greatest 33:17	64:3,5,7,9,13,18	hypothetical 56:25	26:21
giving 15:12 36:2	42:14	65:11 66:1,18		inevitably 56:11
39:16	greatly 29:4 46:15	69:17	1	Infected 67:11
glad 54:23	grounds 46:1	hearings 2:16,24	identified 6:13	inform 30:4
glanced 19:3	guide 9:19	4:3,12 5:14,14	11:11 14:7 15:11	information 21:9
GLD 37:9	Guildhall 64:22	7:8 8:19 14:3	15:17 31:5,7	21:11 37:22
go 24:4,20 28:13		22:4 23:18 61:3	51:16 59:18	63:15
31:14 33:10	<u>H</u>	61:21 62:12,14	identify 32:15	informed 5:25
37:20 38:3 50:17	half 8:4 9:3 57:2	62:20,24 63:1,16	46:11	13:23
58:23 60:23	58:19	64:21,22 66:7,11	idle 27:15	informing 66:2
goal 37:14	halfway 17:15	66:20	ILT 30:8 36:9	initial 31:24
goes 31:18 34:24	hand 15:4 37:6	held 16:25 17:1	immediately 39:4	inquest 7:24,25
65:10	handled 22:18	22:13,16 62:20	47:19 49:21	inquiries 52:20
going 5:10 9:21,23	hands 38:4	help 9:24 50:23	immunity 8:2	57:19 61:4,21,23
10:1 13:14 17:1	happen 3:15 26:16	69:15	impact 10:3 17:19	68:21
26:8,17,24 27:6,6	42:1,2	helpful 29:14,17	36:17,20 53:24	inquiry 1:7,12,19
27:20,23 28:11	happened 41:4		67:2	

				1 agc 70
2:9,20,21,24 4:4	introduced 2:12	juncture 5:5 19:24	lead 7:3 14:9 18:14	little 13:14 54:19
6:6 7:15,24 8:18	introduction 19:5	41:7	67:4	68:17
14:18 16:6 20:5	19:5	June 21:19 53:12	leading 51:3	live 2:16 3:6 5:13
21:21 22:16,24	investigation 46:4	53:12 55:17,17	leads 45:6	61:24 62:13,25
39:20 47:11 50:1	invite 4:6 5:9	56:7 60:17 66:7	learned 1:12,23	63:6 66:19
51:22,24 52:24	12:10 16:10	justice 62:17	48:17	live-stream 61:21
53:22 55:12,19	17:11,24 18:5	•	leave 47:13,15	loaf 8:4
	,	justification 66:21	,	
56:17 57:18	19:12,22 20:23	justified 63:7,19	48:20	location 22:17
59:10 61:7,10,11	60:15 62:23	68:15	leaving 58:8	58:10
61:16,17,19	invited 61:25	K	legal 3:5 6:6 9:15	locations 58:14
67:10,10,11	62:10	keep 23:8 40:22	14:19 16:6 21:21	London 62:21
inquiry's 9:15	inviting 12:5 21:6	_	22:16,24 35:19	64:22,24 67:12
25:17 39:4 62:4	involve 20:24	64:4,6,18	39:20 44:18	long 32:12 33:5
63:14	involved 8:12	kept 10:13	56:17	62:3 67:25
insight 36:25	13:11 16:5,11	key 5:5,10	length 66:22 67:17	longer 31:4 67:2,6
insignificant 45:17	30:17 32:14	kind 17:18 29:11	68:10	look 49:20 51:10
insist 37:16	34:21	52:25 69:2	lengthy 63:17	53:15 57:5
insofar 60:2	involves 24:25	kindly 53:11	let's 48:13	looked 34:1
instance 2:20	isolate 33:18 50:2	King's 1:13,15,23	level 20:23 62:23	looking 5:4 15:14
33:15	issue 11:15,22	5:25 17:24 22:25	66:17	54:17 60:20,21
instances 31:10	12:15 17:3 27:6	65:12	levels 37:7	64:15
33:12 35:11	35:25 40:22	knew 26:7 49:4	liaised 13:7	looks 35:21
instant 3:10	42:15 50:3,13,15	knocked 48:14	liaising 20:18 59:9	lots 41:19
instructions 62:19	53:8 54:1 58:5	know 1:11 8:11	liaison 15:23 20:3	loud 42:11
instructive 19:4,5	61:2 66:10	9:12,23 12:22	20:25	
intend 15:22 48:23	issued 3:11	14:25 17:23	lies 25:4	M
64:18	issues 4:23 5:10	19:14,16 24:18	light 36:9	main 48:21 58:18
intended 23:7,13	23:5,13,16 25:6,9	27:4,12,22,24,25	limited 23:15	Majesty 24:8
intending 27:15	25:9 26:18 28:12	28:4,6,10,14	57:19	Majesty's 6:2 8:22
49:6	28:18 29:23	29:21 30:14	line 9:22,22 28:14	9:2 11:19 13:8
intensive 25:1	34:17 36:18 37:3	33:22 36:12	28:14 46:14	14:5 17:5,11,13
intention 4:1 20:7	38:2 44:15 50:13	37:13,21 39:22	line-by-line 7:10	18:7,13,22 19:12
interest 8:1 25:14	51:3,8,9,20,21	40:24 41:25	25:7	19:22 20:4,19,21
32:16	52:18,25 55:11	42:10 45:16	lines 37:12	20:22 23:12 46:2
interested 52:12	56:7,11 57:8,18	48:15,16,22 49:2	link 2:14,16,16,18	54:21
interesting 47:4	57:22 58:1,17,21	49:19 50:6 52:20	3:4,6,6 58:15	major 58:1,21
interests 25:13	58:25 59:11	54:19 55:20,22	62:13 66:19	majority 23:13
45:5	60:18 64:13 65:8	57:16 65:13,14	links 2:15,22 5:13	26:2,24 27:9
internal 32:13	66:8 67:13	67:5,21	62:25	29:5 36:6
40:8 58:8	item 5:1 60:3,5	known 27:13 28:9	list 12:16,17,18,20	making 9:7 32:11
internally 32:22	items 5:8,11 10:4	28:13,23 30:21	12:21 13:10,19	32:12 34:22 40:3
41:21	10:15 23:22,23	31:8 58:12	13:21,25 15:24	40:15 66:3
international 21:7	59:25	knows 26:7 41:3	43:23 51:7 52:15	manage 59:9,12
21:10,12,16,20	iteration 10:20	49:3 53:14	52:16	managed 35:17
21:22 22:2,23			listed 63:24 65:15	37:8,9 41:21
43:20 44:2 60:4	J	L	66:7	management 1:9
64:24	January 3:23,24	lady 57:20	listening 14:24	managing 37:4
interrupt 27:20	10:21 12:5 22:7	largely 1:9	15:1	Mansfield 1:13
interruptions 67:5	joint 1:18	late 39:8	literally 38:17	5:19 22:14 24:2
	judgments 53:23	latest 53:20		24:5 46:20,21,22
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 480 77
47:21 48:1,6,9,11	measures 2:23	mustn't 31:15	60:10 62:15	offered 45:8
49:12,15,17 51:3	5:12 14:10,15		66:25 68:13	Office 67:10
51:19 53:20 55:5	60:7,12 63:14	N	noted 48:6	officers 46:6
55:25 56:3,10,14	64:2	names 11:16,22	notice 54:19 55:7	okay 11:1
56:21 57:4,14,16	media 2:6 3:2,7	12:8 13:9 15:2,3	67:3	once 15:8 40:9
57:23 58:1 59:1	7:7 12:7	16:12	noticed 55:15	47:12 50:13
59:4 65:12 70:7	meet 31:19 36:3,16	narrative 10:16	notified 58:20	53:14
map 20:17 37:20	meeting 41:22	narrowing 25:5	novel 23:16 28:21	ones 40:19 47:15
maps 64:16	42:4 55:16 57:13	national 15:10	November 11:20	58:18
March 10:24 11:1	members 2:14 3:7	25:13	25:2	ongoing 5:2 12:19
23:3,5,17 29:3,11	61:6	nature 17:12	Novichok 51:7	35:9 61:23 68:22
34:17 43:11 44:6	mention 51:17	25:22 36:18 37:5	58:10,14,15	online 62:9
51:18 63:25 64:7	mentioned 15:3	38:1 48:17,20	nuanced 65:19	onwards 16:7 39:1
65:15	19:9	58:14 63:7	number 1:17 6:22	47:10 50:19
material 6:12 7:20	merges 52:4	near 52:14	20:25 23:16	Op 67:1
8:7,23 11:13,18	messaging 3:10	nearing 24:16	28:10 46:5 49:11	open 2:9 5:24 7:4
13:21 16:20	met 20:8 59:13	32:10	52:4 65:17	7:7,13,14 8:8
17:12,17,19 18:1	Metropolitan 1:20	nearly 16:19 38:24	numbers 48:10	23:8 25:4 32:17
18:17,19 20:18	mid 53:12 55:17	42:5		43:23 50:22 51:1
20:21 21:7,9,13	56:7 64:7	necessarily 5:7	0	61:3 62:11,17
21:14,17,18,20	middle 53:5 57:7	9:24	O'Connor 5:25	63:23 64:5,7,20
21:22,25 22:3,23	mind 10:13 25:16	necessary 4:22	47:3 49:3 51:23	67:3
25:23 26:8 27:17	33:4 44:23 45:19	8:20 9:21 16:13	52:9 58:20	open/closed 52:19
27:20,21 28:21	54:7 59:15 64:12	16:21 40:4 56:21	objecting 54:12	operate 38:22
31:6,22 33:7	64:16 67:16	64:4,5 66:7	objection 4:5 66:6	operation 1:18,19
34:14 43:21	minded 12:4 60:14	necessitate 63:3	66:17	1:22 6:3 8:22,24
48:12,18,20,21	mindful 33:2	need 6:22,25 9:12	objections 12:5,9	13:8 14:6 18:10
49:25,25 50:24	minimum 27:9	9:23 11:22 14:17	obliged 46:9	18:13 20:16,19
51:9,14 52:21	36:6	18:17 19:16	observation 27:15	21:8,11,18,22,24
53:17,24 54:18	minutes 3:4 62:3	21:19 22:13 23:8	47:22	22:7,22 45:3,7,23
55:19 57:12,15	63:10,18 68:12	23:16 48:5 51:21	observations	46:3,7,15 63:18
60:4	moment 10:2	52:7 56:12,18 60:3 62:17 63:16	25:15 48:17 49:23 63:5 67:1	opportunity 13:23
materials 29:3	22:13 47:13,16		observed 65:24	29:6 40:4 45:8
32:15 54:9 58:24	48:19 52:3,13	63:18,22 65:14 66:12 67:8,18	observed 65.24 observers 2:13	49:19 64:13
matter 12:22 23:2	58:23 59:22	68:4,15	obtain 21:12 61:9	optimism 27:2
38:20 66:23	69:12,13	needed 64:8	obvious 27:11	optimistic 44:5
matters 5:11,24	moments 20:11	needing 68:14	49:18 50:5	45:21
10:4 11:7 20:1	month 43:10 47:24	needs 15:16 18:16	obviously 25:20	option 24:2 63:11
21:5 23:24 26:13	48:13,14	19:14 69:7,8	31:18 34:24	oral 4:2,8,24 23:17
35:3 47:13 53:13	months 57:3	neither 46:2	35:16 36:13	29:9
62:6,8 63:2,4 68:18	morning 1:4,11,23 4:24 19:3 25:20	new 25:8 28:21	40:22 46:5 51:5	order 4:19,20,24 5:3,23 6:9,13,19
	32:25 43:4	NHS 2:4	60:17	
May/June 60:20 McGahey 40:15	Moss 1:24	Nicholls 1:13	occasion 7:12 69:2	7:6 8:6,15,17,19 8:21,24,25 9:9
mean 31:12 42:5	move 59:24	normally 35:18	occasions 35:2	10:9 11:4,18,21
means 3:3,10 13:1	moved 14:11	notably 39:2	occupies 48:25	14:8,15 15:25
13:2,5 39:25	movements 51:7	note 3:23 4:1 12:4	October 16:10	18:5,14,18 20:7
40:2,2 42:4	movements 31.7	12:6 14:12 17:4	36:21 42:1,2	21:5 23:6 24:9
meant 26:16	moving 8:10	19:22 20:2 25:18	47:7 57:3 64:22	24:15 28:12 32:7
		26:22 30:8 36:9	64:23 65:1	21.13 20.12 32.7
	Į	l	l	I

				1 480 70
32:9 35:20 36:2	62:21	pinpointed 58:12	18:25 21:20	preventable 57:25
38:12,14 39:7,17	participant's	pipeline 27:19	24:13 31:1 46:11	previous 2:10,15
42:2 43:4 44:21	17:20 36:20	37:23	51:20 53:14 55:7	4:3,12,13 5:8,24
45:11 46:2 51:23	participants 3:5	place 2:11 9:8	60:17	previously 10:8
52:17 53:13 55:7	3:21,25 4:20	37:21 44:5,24	possibly 53:12	57:21
59:17 60:7 64:3	5:10 6:11 7:7	64:23	59:19	principal 55:4
64:8,14 65:10,25	10:15 11:14	placed 29:16 55:9	Post 67:10	principle 11:23
orders 6:25 7:19	13:23 14:13	planned 43:9	potential 15:20	62:17,23 66:18
10:2 15:2,25	15:20,24 16:8,22	planning 20:20	26:18	67:3
16:4 44:16 63:8	21:6 22:10 23:23	57:13,13	potentially 6:6	principles 7:5
organisations 12:7	36:5 39:2 42:3	platform 61:25	Pottle 1:12	66:16
original 14:4 44:2	59:9 60:10 61:24	play 6:21 35:22	practical 16:11	prior 53:10
61:3 63:25	61:25 62:12 63:1	playing 30:5	53:21 63:10,13	priority 15:24
originates 31:22	64:12	please 2:19 24:5	practically 53:3	16:17 30:2 45:19
others' 18:17	participants'	25:17 26:19 30:7	57:5 67:4	probably 50:9
ought 66:23	18:19 62:10	pleased 4:17 10:14	practice 32:4,21	68:17 69:10
outcome 7:12	particular 6:11	point 18:4,4,20	35:7 61:22	problem 65:14
outside 3:9 26:14	15:22 18:25	19:13,21 20:10	pragmatic 16:13	problem 65:14
37:25 43:19 54:2	22:19 25:18	20:11 30:16	precise 7:12	problems 49:8
outsider's 30:16	30:25 41:7 49:8	31:23 39:15	precisely 12:18	procedural 5:11
outstanding 17:12	50:1 62:13 66:25	40:18,21 41:18	17:25 26:18 34:9	5:23
18:1 19:24 21:14	67:15	41:20 42:16 45:6	preface 38:9	procedure 9:9
23:5 25:23 37:3	parties 51:7	50:2 51:13 60:21	preliminary 1:3,7	38:12
overlap 18:16 52:4	partners 21:10,12	points 36:4 46:11	2:16,23 9:1,4	procedures 52:21
53:6	44:2	50:12 54:14 68:3	15:21 25:15	proceedings 2:19
	party 12:12 54:1	police 1:18,19,20	58:13 63:5,15,23	61:8,11 62:4,18
P	party 12.12 54.1 passage 49:8	1:21 2:2 6:4,23	preparation 17:20	63:9
page 49:12 50:17	passed 41:17	10:20 11:2 14:6	53:25	process 4:21 6:2
50:19 54:8	path 52:3	15:21 18:23 19:2	preparations	6:10,18,19 7:13
pages 50:15,16,20	people 16:14 20:14	19:16 20:12	47:11	8:16,21 10:9
50:20	41:1	27:16 30:14,18	prepare 22:4	11:5,12 13:11
painstaking 24:20	perfect 36:11	30:24 31:15,21	36:21 42:3	18:6 21:5 23:6
paper 23:14 29:10	perfectly 36:16	33:9,11,16 34:14	prepared 43:22	24:15,23 29:12
papers 29:6	period 37:13 47:10	37:25 43:12,16	47:8	30:11,17 31:11
paragraph 4:14	48:14 57:9,11	43:17 44:16,20	preparing 8:16	31:18 32:6,12
8:13 11:16 12:4	58:3 64:6	45:8,10,22 46:1,5	12:16 13:12,19	33:5,7,11,18 34:5
14:12 17:15	permission 21:12	49:2 51:10 58:7	present 3:8 12:12	34:18,23 35:9
23:11 25:19	21:13 61:12,13	58:10,13 60:4	27:8 63:23	43:3 44:23 45:18
49:11,12 54:6,8	permitted 25:5	police's 31:23	presented 28:15	46:9 59:10,17
paragraphs 17:5	62:22	position 12:6	presently 4:22	65:25
17:10 49:13 50:5	person 1:5	14:11 15:19	61:22	processes 29:22
parallel 13:20	persuasive 16:16	18:22 30:23	presents 65:13	35:15 59:12
20:19 29:23	persuasively 38:6	possess 21:8	67:13	processing 39:3
part 9:12 18:6	phone 3:9	possession 31:23	pretty 43:18 47:19	40:8
20:3 26:13 30:10	pick 45:25	possibilities 27:25	49:22	produced 61:10,18
31:11 32:12,16	picked 45:23	possibility 25:8	prevent 63:14	progress 8:10 14:3
34:12 42:19	piecemeal 21:21	31:13 64:7	preventability	20:5 53:21
44:20 61:17	pieces 29:21	possible 11:8	50:4 51:4 57:21	progresses 11:5
66:15	pinch 46:11	12:17 13:19	58:5,8	progressing 4:21
participant 4:6	•]-	
_	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			_	
promote 34:7	33:19 34:20	48:23 49:6 50:13	refer 31:6 49:7	remote 2:14
promute 34.7	35:22 38:6 41:13	54:6,11 58:11	reference 3:1 6:15	remotely 3:3,6
proper 41:18	46:25 55:23	readily 54:14	7:22 26:1	report 10:20 11:2
properly 22:4	60:12 64:2	ready 22:22 26:9	referenced 17:15	11:6 18:23 19:2
1 2 2 2	putting 52:1 57:1	27:10	referred 17:4	19:16 20:12
32:23 35:2 42:3	66:3	real 9:16 55:6	19:19 22:6	30:24 31:15
	pyramid 41:1,2	real-time 61:24	referring 27:13	43:12,16 49:2,5
proposal 60:11	pyramiu +1.1,2	realise 55:5	refers 18:1 31:4	50:8,10,14,17
propose 4:3,24 5:9		reality 37:24 41:10	refined 32:23	51:10 58:7,11,13
23:22 63:3 64:1	quantity 17:12	really 19:4,5 43:19	33:23	60:5
proposed 20:6	21:8 25:22 39:8	46:9 48:16 55:2	reflected 26:2	reporters 61:7
34:2	45:16 48:18,20	65:10 68:12	refrain 54:23	represent 67:23
	question 30:15,17	realtime 62:19	regard 12:15 13:9	representations
proposes 03.18 proposing 14:5	37:4 38:7,21,23	reason 18:25 31:1	20:9 39:24 41:18	13:24
prospect 36:8	39:6,15 40:24,25	48:11 55:6	41:22	
1 -	41:11 50:3 53:5			representatives 3:5
prospects 46:1	55:2 58:9,9	reasonable 61:6	regarding 7:5	
protect 25:13 protection 3:17	65:13	reasonably 45:21 reasons 54:8 62:16	18:22 21:25 30:23 62:20	represented 1:22
<u> </u>	questions 12:24			representing 1:14
Protocor	25:18 30:8 35:13	reassurance 36:2	regards 54:1	request 22:8 61:12
52:24 63:14	50:6,21 53:1	reassurances	regular 15:23 20:3	requests 43:20
prove 34:3	queue 32:3 33:20	36:10 46:23	37:21	require 24:19
Provide III IVI	35:23	reassure 66:14	reiterate 43:3	27:14 35:4 52:23
21:22 22:3 54:21		reassuring 43:8	related 5:23 10:4	66:21
07117 01120 0211	quick 27:19	receive 34:25	12:16	required 11:6
Provided oil is it	quickly 11:8,20	47:17	relates 50:25	23:10 28:9 37:1
11:4 14:18 20:17	13:18 45:13	received 2:2 3:25	relating 21:5	38:19 52:12,15
	quite 12:24 15:7	11:19 12:10 22:6	45:10	requires 25:7
40:19 45:2,14	29:20 35:2 38:1	40:9	relation 1:7 15:2	32:14 61:5
48:24 61:10,18	39:12 51:8 54:14	receiving 38:15	50:22 54:9 55:3	resolution 34:17
provides 48:4	60:1 65:12	reciprocation 45:7	relatively 34:4	64:24
providing 5:3 6:6	R	recognise 24:11,22	35:21	resolve 23:14 63:2
8:23 22:9 34:5	raise 4:6 28:11	29:4 34:19 54:20	relevance 21:16	67:17
provision 10:0		66:24 67:9	relevant 4:12 6:7,8	resolved 29:5
62:12	40:20 51:4,20	recognises 24:24	6:9,12 7:20 10:4	51:21
provisional 12:4	58:9 68:19 69:8	record 61:9	18:9 30:12 31:7	resource 35:17
12:17,21 13:22	raised 14:22 17:5	recorded 14:11	32:14 33:7 43:11	37:5,7,8
34:25	23:11 30:15,17	recording 61:10	43:15 44:18 45:9	resources 29:25
public 3:2,7 8:1	31:24,24 49:3	Recordings 62:3	66:16	37:4 40:16,18,22
25:14 32:16	53:13	records 7:16	reluctance 54:13	48:1,4,5 54:22
1 .14.4.1 .1.1.1 01.0	range 4:11	rectified 55:8	relying 28:6	55:2,3
1 01.70.7.1 079	rate 59:22	redacted 14:18	remain 7:20 15:19	resourcing 26:11
1 DUDIICIV 33.9 02.1 1	rationale 54:12	16:7,20 38:25	63:2	41:7,11 42:13,15
publish 4:3	re-emphasise	39:19	remained 7:24	respect 21:14
published 2:24	46:25	redaction 12:8	remaining 9:5	32:22 33:18
purpose 3:13 7:23	reached 26:4	15:2 16:23 58:6	20:6 23:22 43:20	34:13 42:14 46:6
23:4.7 66:1.4	40:18	redactions 9:15	59:25	respectful 37:11
purposes 48:22	reaches 66:15	19:6,10,16 50:15	remains 7:17	38:4
put 14:14 17:21	reaching 7:22	50:16	15:24 16:8 21:13	respectfully 37:2,9
24:21 27:16 28:5	39:20	reduced 36:9	23:7 25:8	respects 26:23
	read 14:7 41:4			
				<u> </u>

				1 486 00
respond 21:6	rightly 65:12	28:25 29:2 30:3	series 7:8 28:18	41:7,9,24 42:9
35:12	risk 15:10,11	45:6	32:13	44:9,15 45:17
response 22:8	17:21 26:12	secondly 2:11	serious 58:25	46:18 59:8,25
33:16 44:3 69:2	54:16	62:25 63:12	serve 66:5	60:14,25 63:9
responses 5:8	risks 32:16	Secretary 1:16	service 2:4 6:5	64:20 65:3,8,15
32:17 33:12,21	rolling 8:21 9:8	section 3:21 49:10	27:4	66:11,14 67:12
43:20 44:1	23:6 24:18 38:11	49:17,23,24 50:7	set 4:14 7:19 9:11	67:13,21,21 68:8
responsible 1:22	room 3:8,9	52:22 61:4,14,15	16:5 39:18 59:13	68:25 69:4
54:17	roughly 49:4	sections 52:5	61:3 66:13	sit 52:10
rest 48:2	round 8:14 53:10	secure 22:17 61:6	sets 7:9	sitting 57:7
restricted 13:5	57:7 58:3	securely 22:13	setting 20:9	situation 3:14 53:4
restriction 4:18,20	route 20:17 37:20	security 2:23 11:5	shaking 54:24	55:21 56:4
5:3,23 6:13,19,25	64:15	15:11 25:14 62:8	shared 21:11	six 48:14
7:6,19 8:6,15,17	routine 61:20	see 9:16 16:14 21:3	short 2:2 11:6	six-month 47:10
8:19,21,23,25 9:9	Rowley 1:15	36:17 40:11	30:13,20 31:3	sixth 1:7 2:10
10:2,9 11:4,18	rule 7:1 11:21 28:1	49:21 50:14	40:21 47:19	slightly 31:3 47:12
15:2,25 16:3	29:22 62:23	52:15	57:10 63:9	60:7
18:5,14,18 20:6	ruled 33:3	seek 20:5	shortcut 25:12	slippage 26:13,15
21:5 23:6 24:9	ruling 7:15,19	seeking 11:12	shortly 19:1 22:9	26:20 36:8 54:16
24:15 28:12 32:7	8:10,14,24 11:16	36:13	22:10 31:1 60:2	54:16,18
32:9 35:20 38:12	11:22,23 12:5,10	seeks 25:13	65:8	small 18:16 31:15
38:14 39:7 43:4	12:11 16:12	seen 14:20 19:3	shot 55:2	Smith 2:21
44:15,21 45:11	24:24 25:2 35:1	22:11 41:5 43:5	shown 19:10 26:10	smoothly 4:21
46:2 59:17 61:15	35:6,24 50:25	43:24 54:11	shows 19:6	SO15 1:21
63:8 64:3,8,14	51:1 54:11 66:17	self-evidently 7:17	side 27:2 47:15	solicitor 2:21 37:6
65:10,25	rulings 4:13 7:14	sense 25:3 55:18	48:21	solicitors 35:18
restrictions 61:16	9:17 32:20 35:11	sensible 5:17	sights 27:14	37:9
return 30:14 63:4	41:4,5	23:25 33:18	significance 50:1	somebody 28:1
Returning 2:22	Rumsfeld 28:23	63:10	significant 14:22	soon 45:13 51:19
16:3 66:10	Rumsfeldian	sensitive 18:7 33:3	35:25	54:20 55:7 59:19
revelation 62:7	41:12	62:8 63:15 65:19	similar 18:9 63:13	sooner 59:20
review 6:7 13:20		65:23	simply 15:14 26:8	Sorry 49:14
20:21 21:16,19	$\frac{S}{S}$	sensitivities 6:12	27:1 35:7 38:11	sort 20:17 55:9
22:24 23:8 25:7	safeguard 16:9	6:14,21 18:15	38:22 40:24 46:7	63:12
27:14,19 29:7	Salisbury 53:7	20:24 45:4,22	48:10 56:4	sorted 55:13
30:19 32:2,15	58:10,15 62:21	sensitivity 7:11	simultaneous 61:8	sorting 57:8
34:24 35:6 38:1	64:21	44:20 45:10 46:6	sir 1:11 2:7,8,22	sought 36:25
40:23 41:8 42:13	sample 7:3,9 9:17	62:7	3:11 4:10 6:14	52:13
45:8 64:4,19	14:9 25:2 30:19	sent 16:21 44:2	6:25 7:15,18 8:6	sound 34:1
reviewed 21:18	32:2 saw 26:25	separate 12:24	8:11 10:7 11:21	source 31:14
27:17 32:18		13:3 15:5,13	13:7 14:17 20:2	sources 28:21
reviewing 45:18	saying 38:9 says 28:16	18:14 58:16	20:8,23 24:8,19	South 1:21 2:3 6:5
right 13:18 15:18	says 28:16 schedule 28:15	separately 12:13	24:25 25:11,16	speak 27:12
16:2 22:14,21	schedules 7:16	21:17 33:20 Santambar 2:11	25:25 26:9 27:4	speaking 53:4
23:20 28:23 32:8	33:22	September 2:11	28:5,14 29:14,21	special 5:12 14:10
36:22 44:7 54:25	scope 54:2	5:4,24 6:19 7:4	30:4,19 32:11,24	14:15 60:6,12 64:2
56:10 59:21 64:17 65:2 68:2	scope 54.2 scrutiny 32:19	9:18,18 21:23	34:23 35:2 36:5	
68:23 69:5,9	second 11:15 13:5	sequencing 51:23 52:16,18 56:8	36:11 37:2,15,24 39:11,13 40:1,25	specific 7:11 26:8 29:25 35:13 45:4
00.43 07.3,7	18:4,20 23:2	34.10,10 30.0	39.11,13 40.1,23	29.43 33.13 43.4
	10,20 20.2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 age of
45:22 68:18	3:20,22,22,25 4:4	sure 9:7 20:13	7:22 21:10 32:12	24:23 43:3
specifically 59:12	4:8,11,15,24 5:9	22:25 39:12	35:22 51:8,9	times 68:22
specifics 67:14	5:21 7:6,18 8:13	46:17 56:19 67:9	61:13 68:17	timetable 9:10
specified 23:3	11:17 12:3,7	surely 12:24 27:24	test 15:13	10:3 16:24 17:3
speculate 57:17	14:4 17:6,16	27:25	Thames 1:20	20:9 41:23 59:22
spending 9:20	18:12 21:15,25	surprised 55:1	thank 1:4,25 12:14	69:13
spoke 46:5	23:12,17,21 24:7	56:19	13:18 15:18,19	timetables 59:13
spot 27:16	24:22 26:1,21	surveyed 48:19	19:20,21 23:25	timetabling 42:6,7
stage 5:6 6:1,2,9	29:9,15 31:25	suspect 46:8	34:11 42:20 43:5	64:16
10:7 11:12 13:12	43:1,6,22 44:14		44:12,13,15	timing 18:22 20:6
13:22 15:25	46:21 54:6 55:24	T	46:18,19,22,23	30:23
17:14 24:13	59:7 60:9,17	tab 3:1,23,24 4:15	59:1,4,5,22 65:2	to-ing 33:8 35:15
25:24 29:19 30:1	61:4 62:10 63:3	5:1 6:16 7:14	65:5 68:5,7,23,25	today 4:2 9:25
31:14 34:3 36:6	63:25 64:20 65:4	8:13 11:17 12:4	69:1,4,15	12:11 63:24
36:19 37:14	65:7 66:14 70:3	14:12 17:8 21:15	theirs 13:25 20:22	68:10,11,18 69:8
42:23 44:17,23	70:4,5,6,7,8,9	22:1 23:13 43:24	themed 52:1	today's 65:16
47:17 48:3 52:23	submit 67:4	table 53:10 57:7	theoretical 31:12	told 39:22
56:23 60:13	submitted 3:24	58:3	thereabouts 56:25	top 41:2,3 45:19
66:13	9:14	tabs 3:21	thing 12:25 43:19	topic 1:9 15:1 48:2
stands 51:10	subsequently 8:15	tactfully 40:15	69:12	49:5 55:22 58:16
start 5:22 10:15	substantial 23:21	take 3:16 7:21	things 26:16 41:19	69:7
28:13 42:7 46:25	substantive 5:13	16:11 33:19	45:15	topics 56:8
started 35:10	55:18 61:3 62:11	37:21 41:8 47:23	think 1:6 13:16	total 50:16
starting 47:1	62:24 64:21	50:4 54:3 60:1,7	19:14 26:6 28:5	touch 5:7
50:17 65:9	66:11,18	61:5 64:23 69:11	29:8 30:4 32:5	track 43:9
stated 7:18	succeeding 50:20	69:11	33:13 34:11,20	train 33:16,23
statements 52:13	suddenly 28:2	taken 4:5 29:13	42:12,14,20 43:2	34:9
step 17:2 37:15	sufficient 22:3	40:7,13	43:18,22 54:25	tranche 11:17,21
step-by-step 38:15	48:4	takes 44:23	56:21 60:1 65:9	21:23
steps 3:16 16:11	sufficiently 69:11	talked 64:11	65:17 66:12,22	tranches 8:23,25
32:13 61:5	suggest 34:4 58:23	talking 17:22	67:8 68:4,13,16	9:14 38:15 43:7
stiffer 15:13	58:24	target 49:10,17,24	thinking 47:7	43:13,16
straightforward	suggested 9:15	55:4 task 6:20	third 3:19 12:15	transcript 66:15
35:21	55:17 62:22	tasks 12:16	18:21 28:25	transcripts 62:6
straitjacket 55:10	suggesting 40:25	team 2:20 6:6,7	29:15 30:3	transmission 61:8
55:11	48:3	9:15 14:19 16:7	Thirdly 63:17	transpires 36:15
stream 62:1	suggestion 14:11	20:3 21:21 22:16	thought 19:4 28:2	true 57:4
streaming 61:2	15:10 51:15 52:9	24:14,18 26:11	thoughts 58:21	Trust 2:4
62:11 66:11	53:11,21	31:24 34:24 39:4	thousands 6:20	trying 35:14,14
streamlined 8:16	suggestions 9:21	39:20 40:10	three 10:4 41:5	53:3 57:5
study 49:19	suitable 60:19	44:18 45:23	45:15 62:3	turn 2:25 17:7
Sturgess 1:14	summary 3:2	56:18	throwing 40:25	25:17 30:7 33:19
Sturgess' 62:18	supplemental 56:15	team's 22:24 24:22	time 9:20,20 12:20 22:3 26:4 29:24	turning 31:13 two 1:19 2:15,22
subject 16:3,15 30:19 32:1,18		teams 46:14	34:16 36:7 37:13	8:24 9:5 12:24
submission 37:11	supplementary 11:6 54:10	technical 67:22	39:20 42:3 47:5	13:17 21:4 35:12
40:16 56:5,16	supply 57:12	tedious 34:20	47:20 48:25 52:8	40:17 43:9 44:15
58:5	supply 57:12 supporting 19:8	tell 27:5	66:24 67:16,24	46:14 47:3 58:2
submissions 2:3	19:18	telling 40:8	time-consuming	58:17
Subillissions 2.5	17.10	terms 4:23 5:22	diffe-consuming	JO.1 /
			l	l

tying 51:9	21:23,25 44:3	28:23 31:16,18	65:1,3,24 69:3,4	years 40:17 61:20
	63:25	31:21 32:9 33:11	70:3,8	YouTube 62:2
U	updated 43:23	34:9,11 36:23	whittle 53:15	7
ultimately 25:12	updating 11:7	38:6,8,13 39:11	Wiltshire 2:2 6:4,5	<u>Z</u>
unable 54:21	urge 37:2 38:3	39:13,22 40:12	14:6 15:21 27:16	Z 37:16
underestimate	use 9:17 51:22	40:20 41:24,25	43:17 44:16,19	0
38:18	useful 4:1 11:13	42:9,12,18,20	45:8,10,22 46:1,5	
underlies 32:7	56:16 68:25	44:10 54:25 65:6	wiser 48:19	1
underlying 11:3	usually 62:2,5	65:7,8 66:10	wish 20:8 21:1	15:1,1 6:1,2 18:11
18:24 30:25	T 7	67:16,21 68:1,3	23:2 46:24 55:15	44:17
43:12,15 50:10	V	68:19 70:4,9	58:17	10.30 1:2
underpins 24:12	Valley 1:20	way 21:21,23	wishes 69:8	10.30 1.2 100 28:16
understand 12:9	variations 25:9	22:15,19,19	witness 12:21,25	11 3:22 11:16
14:13 19:15	various 29:21	23:17 28:19 29:9	52:12,15,16 53:5	14:12 65:17
22:18 29:18	51:25 59:11	32:10 33:5,24	63:21	11.59 69:16
30:15 32:24 34:2	vast 26:2,24 27:9	38:11 40:2 45:7	witnesses 12:15,17	12 21:15 49:12,12
37:15 39:12,25	29:5 36:6	47:6 49:13 52:10	13:20 14:6 15:4	49:14
40:2 41:14,24	Verbasco 1:18,22	55:8	15:9,20 52:11,14	13 26:5 49:13
42:12,18 50:25	6:3 8:22,24 13:9	we've 14:6	52:18 53:6 56:8	14 8:13 12:6 21:23
51:16 55:25	14:6 18:10,14	webinar 61:24	57:9 60:6,22,22	42:1,2 57:3
56:15	19:12 20:16,19	website 2:24 4:4	word-by-word	64:22
understanding	21:8,11,18,22,24	7:15	7:10	15 23:5 34:17
13:1,2 39:17	22:7,22 34:8	week 9:6 13:16	work 4:20 8:11	63:18,25 65:15
50:8	45:3,7,24 46:3,7	27:16 38:17,17	24:20 29:22 32:5	68:12
understands 15:1	46:15 63:18 67:1	39:9 47:23	42:8	16 54:8
41:4	version 11:3,9	weeks 26:5 35:12	worked 15:8	17 50:15,20
understood 18:3	18:23 30:24 31:6	65:17,17,19	working 9:23	18 61:4
18:11 48:7 53:19	viable 68:12 view 17:18 25:11	West 2:3 6:5	24:22 26:11	18th 44:25
undertake 46:9	30:16 54:3 61:8	Westminster	34:18 36:3 37:17	19 10:21 11:24
undertaken 8:11	61:9	67:12	47:8	14:16 16:5,20,25
underway 8:21 23:7 24:15	views 33:6	whatsoever 36:18	works 42:7	17:14 19:1,24
undoubtedly 19:3	visible 50:19	36:20	world 41:20	20:8,13 26:4
unexpected 28:21	vital 1:8	whilst 63:6	worried 55:10	27:1 31:2 34:14
Unforeseen 26:15	vital 1.8 voice 14:22	Whitelaw 1:10,11	worry 48:5 54:3	36:3 37:15 38:24
unfortunate 57:20	volce 14.22 volume 39:7	2:1 3:13,19 4:10	worth 9:7 wouldn't 7:25 27:3	39:8,9,19,24 42:4
unfortunately	volume 37.7	5:16,21,22 6:18		47:17 49:13,14
58:18	W	8:3,6,10 10:1,7 10:13,20,23,25	39:13 46:10 55:1 written 14:4 17:6	51:12 61:14,15
unglamorous 1:9	want 16:17 24:3,3	11:2,11 12:1,3,14	21:24 22:5 26:1	65:18,22
unified 13:10	33:13 40:21	12:25 13:4,7,16	43:6 55:23 66:13	19th 51:14,19
universe 27:13	42:22 51:4 53:4	13:19 14:2 15:6	45.0 55.25 00.15	
28:6 30:22 31:8	55:16 59:3,5	15:19 16:3,15	X	2
unknown 28:24	60:18 64:12 68:9	17:2,8,10,24 18:4	X 37:16	2 1:1 5:6,8 6:9 10:4
31:14 41:12,12	68:24	19:12,21 20:1,16		10:7 11:12 13:12
41:15	wanted 11:15	21:4 22:12,16,20	Y	13:22 15:25 17:5
unknowns 28:20	42:10 44:8	22:22 23:21,25	Y 37:16	17:10,14,15
28:24,24 41:15	wasn't 7:23	25:21 43:22 59:5	year 2:11 5:25	24:13 25:19,24
unspecific 13:14	Watson 1:15 17:24	59:7,8,15,25	9:11,18,19 16:6	29:19 30:1 36:6
unusual 47:6	24:6,7,8 26:15,17	60:24 64:11,18	16:19 23:4 36:8	37:14 56:23 60:3
update 5:3,22	26:20 27:24 28:5	00.2101.11,10	38:24 42:5 51:17	60:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Page 83

			Page 83
20 7:14 21:19	8		
2005 61:15	8 3:23 12:4		
2023 6:15 10:18	8(a) 43:24		
11:20 21:15,23	82 44:17 45:2		
2024 1:1 3:23,24			
12:5 14:16 16:5	9		
19:24 20:8 23:5	9 12:5 29:22		
63:25 64:22,23	90 44:1		
21 6:16 10:18	99 28:18		
22 11:25			
23 21:19			
24 10:21 11:20			
70:4			
28 64:23 65:1			
28th 64:25			
29 3:1,24			
3			
3 5:8 10:4 17:5,10			
23:4 25:2,19			
30:7 60:5			
31 22:7			
4			
4 3:22,23 4:15 5:11			
5:15 8:13 11:17			
21:15 60:8 66:10			
43 70:5			
44 70:6			
46 70:7			
5			
5 3:24 5:15 12:4			
14:12 54:8 60:7			
70:3			
53 50:19			
59 70:8			
6			
6 2:11 4:14 5:12,15			
5:24 22:1 49:12			
6(1) 25:19,20			
6(2) 30:7,8			
6(3) 30:23			
6(a) 23:11			
65 70:9			
7			
7 17:8 23:13			